Page 1

ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

PEORIA DISPOSAL COMPANY,)	
Petitioner,)	
vs.)	PCB 08-25 (Permit Appeal - RCRA)
ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,))	RECEIVED CLERK'S OFFICE
Respondent.)	NOV 0 8 2007
		STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board

The following is the transcript of a hearing held in the above-captioned matter, taken stenographically by Gale G. Everhart, CSR-RPR, a notary public within and for the County of Peoria and State of Illinois, before Carol Webb, Hearing Officer, at 324 Main Street, Room 403, Peoria, Illinois, on the 6th day of November, A.D. 2007, commencing at 9:00 a.m.

	Page	2
1	PRESENT:	
2	HEARING TAKEN BEFORE: ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD	
3	1021 North Grand Avenue East Springfield, Illinois 62794-9274	
4	(217) 524-8509 BY: CAROL WEBB	
5	DI. CIMOL WIDD	
6	APPEARANCES:	
7		
8	ELIAS, MEGINNES, RIFFLE & SEGHETTI, P BY: BRIAN J. MEGINNES, ESQUIRE JANAKI NAIR, ESQUIRE	.C.
9	Attorneys at Law 416 Main Street, Suite 1400	
10	Peoria, Illinois 61602 (309) 637-6000	
11	On Behalf of the Petitioner.	
12		
13	BROWN, HAY & STEPHENS, LLP BY: CLAIRE A. MANNING, ESQUIRE	
14	Attorney at Law 205 South Fifth Street, Suite 700	
15	Springfield, Illinois 62705 (815) 431-1500	
16	On Behalf of the Petitioner.	
17	ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGE	NCY
18	BY: MICHELLE M. RYAN, ESQUIRE Attorney at Law	
19	1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276	
20	Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276 (217) 782-5544	
21	On Behalf of the Respondent.	
22	ALSO PRESENT:	
23	ROYAL COULTER	
24	MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WERE PRESENT	

	Pa	age 3
1	INDEX	
2		Page
3	GREETING BY HEARING OFFICER	4
4		D
5		Page
6	BY MS. MANNING	. 13
7	WITNESS FOR THE PETITIONER:	
8	RON EDWARDS	
9	Direct Examination by Ms. Nair	. 15
10	WITNESS FOR THE RESPONDENT:	
11	V	
12	MARK CRITES Direct Examination by Ms. Ryan	. 29
13	Cross-Examination by Ms. Manning Redirect Examination by Ms. Ryan	. 37
14	DIDLIG COMMENTES	. 42
15	PUBLIC COMMENTS	. 42
16		
17		
18	JOINT EXHIBIT: Identified Admi	tted
19	EXHIBIT 1 14	14
20		
21	*Exhibit was retained by the Hearing Officer.	
22		
23		
24		

```
HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Good morning. My name is
 1
 2
      Carol Webb. I'm a hearing officer with the Pollution
      Control Board. This is PCB 08-25, Peoria Disposal
 3
      Company versus IEPA. It is November 6th, 2007, and we
      are beginning at 9 a.m.
 5
                 At issue in the case is the Agency's denial
      of Petitioner's application to modify its permit for its
      landfill in Peoria County. You should know that it is
      the Pollution Control Board and not me that will make
      the final decision in this case. My purpose is to
10
      conduct the hearing in a neutral and orderly manner so
11
12
      that we have a clear record of the proceedings.
                  I will also assess the credibility of any
13
14
      witnesses on the record at the end of the hearing. At
      the end of the hearing, members of the public will be
15
      allowed to give public comment. The public is
16
      instructed to limit comments to the issue currently
17
     before the Board which is whether PDC's proposed
18
      residual waste landfill constitutes a new pollution
19
20
      control facility subject to local siting approval or
21
      whether it qualifies for an exclusion from that
      definition on the grounds that it is solely accepting
22
```

24 This hearing was noticed pursuant to the Act

waste generated by PDC.

23

- and the Board's rules and will be conducted pursuant to
- sections 101.600 through 101.632 of the Board's
- 3 procedural rules.
- 4 At this time I would like to ask the parties
- 5 to please make their appearance on the record.
- 6 MS. MANNING: Good morning, Madam Hearing Officer.
- 7 My name is Claire Manning. I'm here on behalf of Peoria
- 8 Disposal Company.
- 9 MS. NAIR: My name is Janaki Nair, also here on
- 10 behalf of Peoria Disposal Company. And we will be
- joined presently by Brian Meginnes who will also be
- 12 appearing on behalf of Peoria Disposal.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you.
- MS. RYAN: My name is Michelle Ryan. I'm a special
- 15 assistant attorney general for the Illinois
- 16 Environmental Protection Agency.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you very much. Are
- 18 there any preliminary matters to discuss on the record?
- 19 No? Okay. Would PDC like to make an opening statement?
- MS. MANNING: The only preliminary that we have,
- 21 Madam Hearing Officer, is that we will have a
- 22 stipulation of facts ready shortly. That's where
- 23 Mr. Meginnes is. And we have no evidence and/or
- 24 exhibits to put on the record because they are -- the

- 1 record is already the permit application. Any other
- exhibits we have will be part of the permit application.
- 3 We will do it for demonstrative purposes. But the
- 4 record is already before the Illinois Pollution Control
- 5 Board.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you. Would you like
- 7 to make an opening statement?
- 8 MS. MANNING: I would, Madam Hearing Officer.
- 9 Thank you.
- 10 May it please the Hearing Officer and members
- of the Illinois Pollution Control Board, we thank the
- 12 hearing officer and the Pollution Control Board for
- 13 their time in this matter.
- 14 The appeal before the Board is
- 15 straightforward. It seeks a reversal of the denial of
- 16 the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency's denial
- 17 letter in this permit appeal. We ask that the Board
- 18 reverse the determination of that Agency and remand to
- 19 the Agency for purposes of technical review of the
- 20 permit.
- 21 The issues before the Board in a permit
- 22 appeal are framed by the Agency's permit denial letter.
- 23 Here the permit was denied on one specific basis. The
- 24 IEPA made the determination that, as a condition

- 1 precedent to permit issuance, Peoria Disposal Company
- should receive local siting under section 39 of the Act.
- We are here today because we don't believe that
- 4 determination is correct as a matter of law. Section 39
- 5 applies only to new pollution control facilities. New
- 6 pollution control facilities are specifically defined in
- 7 the law. The law that is applicable, as the Hearing
- 8 Officer and Board knows, is the Illinois Environmental
- 9 Protection Act. The act says what they are and what
- 10 they are not. Here this permit has been designed
- specifically so that the requested permit modification
- sought here does not require siting priority issuance.
- 13 Here, as everyone knows, Peoria Disposal originally
- 14 sought siting of an expansion of its facility. While
- not relevant here today, that expansion as proposed at
- 16 siting sought an expansion to accommodate the facility's
- 17 current operations and, in fact, constituted a new
- 18 pollution control facility.
- In short, that pollution control facility
- 20 that was at siting did not qualify for an exemption.
- 21 Well, that case has been on appeal and is currently on
- 22 appeal before the Third District. Peoria Disposal has
- gone back to the drawing board. Here in this permit
- 24 application Peoria Disposal seeks permit approval for an

- 1 expansion that would just accommodate the waste permit's
- 2 treatment process of which Peoria Disposal is itself the
- generator. It seeks to dispose of that in its own
- 4 residential waste landfill. It is entitled under the
- 5 law to do so without siting.
- 6 This residual waste constitutes a fraction of
- 7 the waste now being accepted into the facility. With
- 8 this permit, Peoria Disposal will no longer be entitled
- 9 to dispose of approximately 40 percent of its currently
- incoming waste. Instead, it will only be allowed to
- dispose of that material which has been in effect
- transformed in its treatment stabilization process
- through the addition of reagents. The material entitled
- to be disposed of pursuant to this permit application is
- 15 known as treatment residue or residual waste. The
- reagents, which are purchased by Peoria Disposal and
- mixed in as additives constitute approximately 40
- 18 percent of the volume of the treatment residue. In
- other words, a full two fifths of the residual waste
- 20 that will be disposed of in the residual waste landfill
- 21 which is the subject of this permit appeal is the
- 22 reagent product itself which has been purchased by
- 23 Peoria Disposal. The other three fifths is inextricably
- 24 mixed with the reagents in a treatment process designed

- 1 to meet health risk based regulatory standards.
- No incoming waste can be directly disposed.
- 3 One hundred percent of it will be subject to the
- 4 treatment process and can and will only be disposed of
- as residual waste. Accordingly, section 3.30A3 of the
- 6 Act applies. That section provides a company who
- 7 conducts a waste storage operation for the purpose of
- 8 disposing of waste generated by its own activities is
- 9 exempt from siting where such wastes are stored,
- treated, disposed of, transferred or incinerated within
- the site or facility owned, controlled or operated by
- such company or transported within or between facilities
- owned, controlled or operated by such company.
- This exemption is not new. It's not unique.
- 15 It has been applied before by the courts, by the Board
- and by the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency. It
- 17 applies here.
- In 2004 the Second District Appellate Court
- 19 reviewed this very exemption we argue here and
- 20 determined that a wastewater treatment facility in Lake
- 21 County was not a Pollution Control Board facility -- a
- 22 pollution control facility, excuse me. And,
- accordingly, zoning was not preempted by local siting.
- 24 The Court rejected the plaintiff's contention. It

- 1 determined that it didn't matter that some of the waste
- would come from those who did not own the facility.
- The IEPA has previously determined the same
- 4 thing in at least two instances that we are aware. In
- 1993 the IEPA issued a RCRA class 3 permit, which is the
- 6 very same permit that this applicant seeks today, to
- 7 Northwestern Steel and Wire in Sterling, Illinois.
- 8 There, as here, the facility had been operating as a
- 9 RCRA permitted facility since the late 1980s. The key
- 10 was that siting was not required because Northwestern
- 11 was simply disposing at its own facility waste that it
- 12 generated. There is no debate. Like Northwestern Steel
- and Wire, Peoria Disposal is the generator of the waste
- it intends to dispose in the permit that is requested
- 15 today.
- 16 In 1994 in a case brought by Envirite against
- 17 the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency and Peoria
- 18 Disposal Company, the Illinois Supreme Court had an
- 19 opportunity to look at the very treatment process that's
- 20 before the Board in this permit application. It
- 21 determined that Peoria Disposal Company is, in fact and
- 22 in law, the generator of the waste that it treats and
- 23 disposes. The producer of the waste is not. Relevant
- to the Board's review here, the Court ruled that the

- 1 statutory language is the best indicator of the
- legislative intent. And decision makers like the Court
- and like the Board need only to look to that language
- 4 without any other aids or instruction.
- 5 The second situation we are aware of where
- 6 the IEPA allowed a permit to issue under similar
- 7 circumstances without siting involved the original
- 8 permitting of the landfill in Livingston County near
- 9 Pontiac, Illinois on I-55. In looking to the statutory
- 10 exemption language relevant here, the IEPA determined
- 11 yet in another context, a similar context, that siting
- wasn't necessary. Thus, the landfill on Route 55 near
- 13 Pontiac was originally allowed to be built and
- 14 constructed without siting. In a 1990 letter from IEPA
- an IEPA attorney to a lawyer for Envirite who originally
- owned the facility, the IEPA concluded that since the
- 17 facility would only be accepting waste generated by
- 18 Envirite -- and in this case the facility that was
- 19 generating the waste by Envirite was some 50 miles away
- in Harvey, Illinois -- siting was not required on the
- 21 basis of the very same language and exemption we argue
- 22 today. Specifically the IEPA in that case wrote,
- 23 "Materials generated by these operations of the Harvey
- facility which are transported to and disposed of at the

- 1 Envirite Livingston Residential Waste Landfill in
- 2 Livingston County would not cause the facility to be a
- 3 pollution control facility because" -- and he cites the
- 4 very same exception relevant here -- "they are waste
- 5 generated by a person's own activities."
- And my comments on all of those cases really
- 7 constitute legal argument. We plan to present no
- 8 evidence and we doubt that the Agency will present any
- 9 evidence that wouldn't be relevant -- that wouldn't be
- 10 appropriate. These are the kinds of arguments we will
- 11 be further making in our brief.
- In terms of fact, there really are no
- disputed facts here before the Board. In fact,
- 14 Mr. Meginnes was going to get a stipulated statement of
- facts between us and the Agency. The sole question
- 16 before the Board is a question of law. While
- 17 traditionally the Board has held hearings in permit
- appeals, this question could readily have been decided
- 19 without the hearing on the basis of the permit
- 20 application before us and the law. Therefore, we will
- 21 only present one witness today, Ron Edwards, who is the
- 22 operator of the waste stabilization facility in the
- 23 Peoria Disposal Facility. And he is the signator on the
- 24 permit before the Board.

```
Page 13
 1
                 I will turn to Janaki Nair in a moment who
      will proceed with questioning Mr. Edwards. The Board's
 2
      authority is clear under the law. It is required to
      apply the law correctly. The Courts, on review, hold it
      accountable to do so. And we appreciate your time today
      and look forward to the hearing. Thank you.
           HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you.
                 Ms. Ryan, would you like to make an opening
 9
      statement?
10
           MS. RYAN: Yes, please. Madam Hearing Officer, we
11
      do agree with PDC that there is one single issue in this
      case which is the issue of siting. The Illinois EPA
12
```

believes that siting is required for this permit for the

reason that the exemption claimed by PDC does not apply.

detail of how Illinois EPA views the siting situation as

inform the Board as to the facts that are already in the

I do have to take issue with the statement,

Today we have one witness who will describe some minor

well as how the permitting process works to further

record. And as Counsel indicated, we do have a

stipulation of facts that we agree upon and so no

however, that there is no debate that PDC is the

generator of the waste from the waste stabilization

additional facts really need to be introduced here.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- facility. That is, in fact, the debate in this case.
- We believe that PDC is not the generator for purposes of
- 3 siting of the materials coming from the waste
- 4 stabilization facility, and that was the basis for our
- 5 decision that siting was required prior to issuance of a
- 6 permit in this case.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you.
- 8 MS. MANNING: We have here, Madam Hearing Officer,
- 9 the stipulations to present at this time.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
- 11 (Exhibit Number 1 was marked for
- identification.)
- 13 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. I have the stipulated
- 14 statement of fact. This is a joint --
- MS. RYAN: That's correct.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: -- filing; is that correct?
- 17 So I assume nobody objects to it. It's admitted as
- 18 Exhibit Number 1. So this will be admitted as Exhibit
- 19 Number 1.
- MS. MANNING: Madam Hearing Officer, you can call
- 21 it Joint Exhibit Number 1 if you like.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I will do that.
- Okay. PDC may call its first witness.
- MS. NAIR: Thank you, Madam Hearing Officer. We

- 1 call Ron Edwards.
- 2 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Edwards, you may have a
- seat up here and the court reporter will swear you in.
- 4 (Witness sworn.)
- 5 RON EDWARDS,
- 6 called as a witness, after being first duly sworn, was
- 7 examined and testified upon his oath as follows:
- 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 9 BY MS. NAIR:
- 10 Q Could you please state your full name for the
- 11 record?
- 12 A Yes. Ron L. Edwards.
- 13 Q And what is your current position with Peoria
- 14 Disposal Company?
- 15 A I'm vice president of development and
- operations of landfills.
- 17 Q Could you briefly describe your experience in
- 18 this industry?
- 19 A Yes. I have more than 24 years of experience
- in the management of hazardous and solid waste. I have
- 21 served in the capacities of construction manager,
- facility manager, director of operations and vice
- 23 president of development and operations and also vice
- 24 president of environmental affairs.

- 1 Q Could you briefly describe your professional
- 2 affiliations?
- A Yes. I'm a member of the National Solid
- Waste Management Association. I have served as past
- 5 chairman of the Landfill Technical Committee. One of
- the things that we did on that committee is we served
- 7 with a committee to import these federal
- 8 subtitle -- these rules into the existing State of
- 9 Illinois rules.
- 10 Q And so did you actually participate with the
- 11 IEPA and the Pollution Control Board in having those
- regulations passed?
- 13 A Yes. There were representatives of IEPA and
- 14 Pollution Control Board as well as industry members on
- 15 the committee.
- 16 Q And could you briefly describe your current
- 17 job duties?
- 18 A Currently, I'm responsible for landfill
- development and operations and also environmental
- 20 compliance.
- 21 Q Could you briefly describe for us the purpose
- of the permit application that was filed in this case?
- 23 A Yes. The purpose of this application was to
- develop an area specifically for disposal of waste

- 1 residues that are generated by our onsite treatment
- 2 plant.
- Q Did you supervise the preparation of the
- 4 application?
- 5 A I did.
- 6 Q And did you certify the accuracy of the
- 7 application?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 MS. NAIR: Madam Hearing Officer, may I approach?
- 10 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Yes.
- 11 Q I'm handing you what was marked in the
- 12 administrative record as page 4, Bates page 4. Do you
- recognize that document?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And that's a certification document; is that
- 16 correct?
- 17 A Right. It's a required certification to be
- included with a request to modify RCRA part B permit.
- 19 Q And is that your signature that appears on
- 20 that page?
- 21 A Yes, it is.
- 22 Q Are the statements and representations in the
- 23 application true and correct to the best of your
- 24 knowledge?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Did you have an opportunity to review the
- administrative record as it was filed by the IEPA?
- 4 A I have.
- 5 Q Did you specifically review Bates-stamped
- 6 pages number 1 through 1363?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And do those pages appear to be a true and
- 9 accurate copy of the application?
- 10 A Yes, they are.
- 11 Q Could you briefly describe the location of
- the facilities in question here?
- 13 A The location. It's 4349-1/2 West Southport
- 14 Road, Peoria, Illinois.
- MS. NAIR: Madam Hearing Officer, may I have
- 16 continuing permission to approach?
- 17 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Yes.
- 18 MS. NAIR: Thank you.
- 19 Q I'm handing you what was marked Exhibit A to
- appendix D616A to the application. It's Bates-stamped
- 21 1129 through 1131. Do you know what this is?
- 22 A Yes. This is a photograph included in the
- 23 application. And it shows the PDC 1 facility. It shows
- the waste stabilization plant and the proposed residual

- 1 waste landfill.
- Q Are those facilities adjacent to each other
- 3 on that photo?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And are they contiguous?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q I'm now going to hand you Exhibit M to
- 8 appendix D616A to the application. This is
- 9 Bates-stamped pages 1219 and 1220. Do you recognize
- 10 this?
- 11 A Yes. This is a site plan of the residual
- waste landfill footprint. What that footprint would be
- is the aerial boundary in which waste could be placed.
- 14 It also depicts the existing waste stabilization
- 15 facility.
- 16 Q And does this drawing also depict the
- facilities as being adjacent and contiguous?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Could you briefly describe for us the
- creation of those portions of the existing landfill that
- 21 will be subject to the application?
- 22 A Yes. The area C landfill, which is the area
- that's the subject of this application, was permitted in
- 1987 in a RCRA part B permit. It was developed to begin

- operating in 1988. The waste stabilization facility as
- well was permitted in 1987. It was constructed in 1988,
- 3 began operating in 1989.
- 4 Q I'm going to hand you what was previously
- 5 marked Exhibit B to appendix D616A to the application,
- 6 Bates-stamped page 1132 to 1138. Do you recognize this?
- 7 A Yes. This is a copy of our waste
- 8 stabilization permit portion of our RCRA part B permit.
- 9 Q And is the waste treatment facility currently
- 10 permitted?
- 11 A It is.
- 12 Q And is that a copy of the currently
- 13 applicable permit?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Is the treatment facility itself a subject of
- 16 the application?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q Could you describe what is proposed to be
- 19 disposed of at the residual waste landfill?
- 20 A Yes. Only those materials that we process
- 21 through the treatment plant. And those would be what
- 22 would be disposed and only those.
- 23 Q By way of comparison, what is currently
- 24 disposed of at the site?

- 1 A There is -- we have two types that we are
- 2 disposing. One is waste that goes to the treatment
- plant for treatment. And then the other is what we call
- 4 direct disposal. That's waste that already achieves the
- 5 standards that can be directly deposited into the
- 6 disposal unit.
- 7 Q Under the application, will PDC be able to
- 8 directly dispose of hazardous waste at the residual
- 9 waste landfill?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q How about MGP waste or coal tar?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q PCB waste?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q Nonhazardous special waste?
- 16 A No.
- Only treatment residue will be deposited in
- the residual waste landfill under the application?
- 19 A That's correct.
- 20 Q Is that the only treatment residue generated
- 21 by PDC's activities at the site?
- 22 A Right.
- 23 Q Could you describe the general purpose of the
- 24 treatment facility?

- 1 A The treatment facility is a permitted
- 2 hazardous waste management operation. It's an
- 3 industrial process, employs methods and techniques
- 4 specified by the U.S. EPA and IEPA to treat -- to
- 5 physically change the composition of a hazardous waste.
- 6 And it would render the resultant residue to achieve
- 7 health base risk standards prior to disposal.
- Q What is the treatment process itself?
- 9 A We have incoming materials to the building.
- 10 They are dumped into receiving bays. They are
- 11 commingled with other waste materials coming into the
- 12 plant. They are moved to a mixing chamber. We add
- 13 reagents to the mixing chamber. As part of that
- 14 process, we are adding cement, ferrous sulfate, fly ash
- and water. There is a exothermic chain reaction
- 16 occurring -- exothermic meaning it's producing heat.
- 17 Reaction occurs in the chamber itself. It renders the
- 18 material much greater mass than initially was placed
- into the mixer. It's also very stable. It has improved
- 20 load-bearing capacity. And it's very cohesive material.
- 21 Q The exothermic reaction, is that caused by
- the addition of the reagents, or is it externally
- 23 heated?
- 24 A The addition of the reagents are creating the

- 1 heat, yes.
- 2 Q You mentioned that fly ash, ferrous sulfate
- and cement are added to the materials received from
- 4 customers. What percentage of mass does that
- 5 constitute?
- A It's about 40 to 50 percent of the mass once
- 7 treated.
- 8 Q How does the facility acquire these materials
- 9 that we mentioned?
- 10 A They are purchased.
- 11 Q And you may have already answered this, but
- what is the difference in the mass of the waste that's
- brought in from customers versus the treatment residue?
- 14 A There is an increase of 40 to 50 percent.
- 15 Q Could you describe any other physical changes
- 16 undergone by the waste as it becomes the treatment
- 17 residue?
- 18 A Essentially, it's sludges and dust that are
- 19 coming into the plant. Once processed, it becomes a
- 20 clay-like consistency. It's very compactible.
- 21 Q And that final product, the treatment
- residue, is that homogenous?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Is it possible to separate that homogenous

- 1 mass into its constituent elements?
- 2 A No. Not after it's been homogeneously mixed.
- Q Could you describe the chemical changes that
- 4 are undergone during the treatment process?
- 5 A Yes. This is the best demonstrative
- 6 available technology process that's designated by the
- 7 EPA under the regulations. And what's occurring is
- 8 three things, a pH adjustment. We also are changing
- 9 metal ions to hydroxides. And that's limiting
- 10 leachability of the metal itself. The third thing is
- it's a pozzolanic material being added. The
- cementatious materials are pozzolanic, and they have
- 13 silicates present. Those silicates combine around the
- 14 metal. There is positive charge and negative charge
- ions. So they tend to bind around that metal itself to
- limit leachability of the metal.
- 17 Q Can the waste that's brought in by PDC's
- 18 customers for treatment be directly disposed of in a
- 19 landfill?
- 20 A No. Those are what are called restricted
- 21 wastes. They do not meet the health base risk standards
- 22 to allow lawful land disposal.
- 23 Q In comparison, can the treatment residues be
- 24 landfilled?

- 1 A Yes. After we've processed it, the residues
- 2 do achieve the health base risk standards, and they are
- 3 legally allowed to be land disposed.
- 4 Q So who is the generator of the treatment
- 5 residue that's generated at the facility?
- 6 A Peoria Disposal.
- 7 Q I'm going to hand you what's previously been
- 8 marked Exhibit E to appendix D616A to the application.
- 9 It's Bates-stamped 1145 to 1147. Do you know what these
- 10 documents are?
- 11 A Yes. This is an excerpt from our waste
- locater log which is part of our operating record.
- Q What is the waste locater log?
- 14 A We are required by regulation to locate the
- placement of waste going into the land disposal unit.
- 16 We do that on a coordinate and elevation system. And
- that's what the locater log depicts is where that
- 18 specific waste was placed within the landfill.
- 19 Q And what entity requires that you maintain
- these locater logs?
- 21 A The IEPA.
- Q Who is listed as the generator of the
- 23 treatment residue on the locater logs?
- 24 A This locater log actually depicts our initial

- 1 placement of waste from our treatment facility in '89.
- 2 And the generator is listed as PDC treatment facility.
- 3 Q You mentioned locater logs are part of the
- 4 operating record; is that correct?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q And are those -- is that operating record
- 7 subject to review by the IEPA?
- 8 A Yes. It's available for review as required.
- 9 Q And how frequently does the IEPA review the
- 10 operating record?
- 11 A We get an inspection, which includes both
- 12 field and record review, once each 15 days, sometime
- during the 15 days. It's not on the 15th day.
- 14 Q I'm going to hand you now what's been marked
- 15 Exhibit J to appendix D616A of the application. These
- 16 pages are Bates-stamped 1161 through 1206. Do you know
- 17 what this is?
- 18 A This is an excerpt from our annual report
- 19 from the facility. These are specific to years 2004 and
- '5. It's an excerpt of the generation and management
- 21 portion of the report.
- 22 Q And who is listed as generator of the
- treatment residues on those annual reports?
- 24 A It's Peoria Disposal.

- 1 Q And these are from 2004 and 2005. Would this
- 2 be typical of all the other years that generator reports
- 3 have been required?
- 4 A That's correct. Since 1989's report, it
- 5 included the generation and form.
- 6 Q And on all those reports, was PDC listed as
- 7 the generator of the treatment residues?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q What entity requires that you prepare an
- 10 annual report?
- 11 A Illinois EPA.
- 12 Q And what do you do with these annual reports
- once they are prepared?
- 14 A Submit it to IEPA Springfield.
- 15 Q Are there other examples of regulatory and
- 16 administrative filings and documents that recite that
- 17 PDC is the generator of the treatment residue?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And are some of those filings given as
- 20 examples in the application?
- 21 A Yes. We have included some of them in the
- 22 application.
- 23 Q To conclude, to what does the application
- 24 apply?

```
1 A It applies specifically to the residues that
```

- 2 are coming from our onsite treatment plant to be
- deposited into the landfill.
- 4 MS. NAIR: We have nothing further, Madam Hearing
- 5 Officer.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you.
- 7 Ms. Ryan?
- 8 MS. RYAN: I don't have any questions.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Thank you,
- 10 Mr. Edwards.
- Does PDC have anything further?
- MS. MANNING: We do not.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Ms. Ryan, you may call your
- 14 witness.
- MS. RYAN: We call Mark Crites.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Crites, the court
- 17 reporter will swear you in.
- 18 (Witness sworn.)
- 19 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Could you spell your name on
- 20 the record, please?
- THE WITNESS: C-r-i-t-e-s.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you.

23

24

1	MARK CRITES,
2	called as a witness, after being first duly sworn, was
· 3	examined and testified upon his oath as follows:
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MS. RYAN:
6	Q Now that you have already spelled your name,
7	can you tell us what your job and job duties are?
8	A I work for the State of Illinois
9	Environmental Protection Agency in the Bureau of Land
10	Permit section. I work as a hazardous waste permit
11	reviewer and handle other issues associated with
12	hazardous waste generation, disposal, treatment and so
13	forth. I have been in that position since 1990.
14	Q Has that been your entire tenure with the
15	Illinois EPA?
16	A Yes.
17	Q What's your educational background?
18	A I have a bachelor of science degree in
19	mechanical engineering.
20	Q Are you familiar with the permit application
21	that's the subject of this appeal?
22	A Yes, I am.
23	Q Did you, in fact, review that application?
24	A Yes, I did, but not in a detailed manner.
a	

- 1 Q What type of application is this?
- 2 A It's a class 3 permit modification request to
- 3 expand the facility.
- 4 Q And expand in what sense?
- 5 A In pretty much all senses. It's vertical.
- 6 It's horizontal, volume-wise.
- 7 Q Did you conduct a full technical review of
- 8 this application?
- 9 A No, I did not.
- 10 Q And why was that?
- 11 A Because upon receiving the application and
- 12 familiarizing myself with what was being requested, it
- 13 became clear that there was at least some questions as
- 14 to whether or not local siting approval would be
- 15 required for an application of this type. And a
- decision was made within permit section that we should
- 17 refer the application to our division of legal counsel
- to review the application and make a decision on whether
- 19 or not -- how we should proceed.
- 20 Q And what was the Illinois EPA's decision with
- 21 respect to the siting issue?
- 22 A It was decided that we felt that siting would
- 23 be necessary for this application.
- 24 Q Are you familiar with section 39H of the Act?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Can you tell me what that pertains to?
- A It pertains to -- it requires approval for
- 4 the disposal of certain types of waste before that waste
- 5 can be disposed.
- Q In the early 1990s, how did the Illinois EPA
- 7 process and issue waste stream authorization?
- 8 A We would get separate applications for each
- 9 individual waste stream, and it would be reviewed on a
- 10 case-by-case basis and individual permits were issued
- 11 for each waste stream.
- 12 Q And is it still done that way today?
- A No, it's not.
- 14 Q How is it done today?
- A As of approximately 1995, we changed our
- 16 procedure so that most of the requirements that
- originally were placed in waste stream authorizations
- 18 were actually put in the main body of the main permit
- 19 for the facility so that this process of approving waste
- 20 streams became sort of a semiautomatic process. It did
- 21 not require a separate permit from the Agency for each
- 22 waste stream.
- 23 Q Are you familiar with the Northwestern Steel
- and Wire facility that's been referenced in PDC's

- 1 application?
- 2 A Yes, I am.
- 3 Q And how are you familiar with it?
- A At one time I was the permit writer for that
- 5 facility.
- 6 Q What did that application pertain to as best
- 7 you can recall?
- 8 A It was a vertical expansion of their onsite
- 9 landfill.
- 10 Q And do you know what types of materials were
- 11 going to be placed in that landfill?
- 12 A It was electric arc furnace dust from their
- bag houses from steel production. And treated spent
- 14 pickle liquor --
- 15 COURT REPORTER: Treated what?
- 16 A Well, it was lime stabilized sludges from the
- treatment of spent pickle liquor which at one point in
- time was regulated as a hazardous waste, as K063.
- 19 It's -- at some point in time, I believe in the
- 20 early -- I believe it was in early '90s that particular
- 21 waste code was removed from regulation.
- Q What type of facility is Northwestern Steel
- 23 and Wire -- or was it, I should say?
- 24 A It was a steel facility.

- 1 Q And it produced steel?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q What decision did Illinois EPA make with
- 4 respect to siting for that expansion?
- 5 A Siting really wasn't a question in that
- 6 particular case because it was waste that was produced
- 7 at that facility originally. It was treated at that
- 8 facility and then disposed at that facility. So we felt
- 9 that it was a pretty clear exemption under that -- the
- 10 exemption that's already been referenced in the Act.
- 11 Q And if that identical issue came up today,
- would you agree with that decision that was made?
- 13 A Yes, I would.
- 14 Q Are you somewhat familiar with the Envirite
- facility that's also referenced in PDC's application?
- 16 A I have some knowledge of it, but I have never
- been directly involved with that facility.
- 18 Q Did you review any of the documentation that
- 19 PDC attached to its application relating to the Envirite
- 20 facility?
- 21 A Yes, I did.
- 22 Q And according to that information, what did
- that permit application pertain to?
- 24 MS. MANNING: Madam Hearing Officer, I'm going to

- object to any testimony on the Envirite facility. He
- 2 already told us that he is not familiar with that
- application. He can't speak to anything factually. He
- 4 would only be able to speak to questions of law and
- 5 legal argument. And, accordingly, I would suggest that
- 6 he not be questioned about the Envirite facility.
- 7 MS. RYAN: I think the Envirite facility was part
- 8 of their application. The documentation that he is
- 9 referring to was the documentation that he reviewed in
- 10 reviewing the application, the parts that he did review.
- 11 And I think that he can give his impressions of that
- information and that informed our decision on the siting
- issue.
- MS. MANNING: I think he can't speak to anything
- 15 other than facts.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Well, I will allow
- 17 him to proceed.
- 18 Q What did that application, the Envirite
- 19 application, pertain to?
- 20 A It -- as I understand it, it was a permit
- 21 application for a new landfill cell at a facility in
- 22 Livingston County that was owned by the Envirite
- 23 Corporation at the time. And that their plan was to
- 24 take wastes that they stabilized at their facility in

- 1 Harvey, Illinois, that -- and then dispose of it in that
- 2 landfill, in that new landfill cell that they were
- 3 creating.
- 4 Q And according to the documentation in the
- 5 application, what decision did Illinois EPA make with
- 6 respect to siting for that application?
- 7 A It was decided at that time that we would not
- 8 require siting.
- 9 Q Based upon your experience in the permit
- 10 section, how does that decision on the Envirite siting
- issue compare with other siting issues decided by
- 12 Illinois EPA in the early 1990s?
- 13 A It seems to be consistent with the way we
- viewed siting at the time.
- 15 Q And would you agree with that decision today
- if that application were to come before you today?
- 17 MS. MANNING: I'm going to object to any
- 18 speculative testimony as to whether they would or
- 19 whether they wouldn't. The fact of the matter is the
- 20 Agency didn't.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I'm going to allow him to
- 22 answer.
- 23 A I don't think we would approve it based on
- 24 the way we view the laws surrounding the issue today.

- 1 Q And why is that?
- 2 A I don't think that flow of consideration was
- 3 given to the value of the local control that the
- 4 legislature decided was necessary for siting, you know,
- 5 a commercial operation, a waste disposal operation.
- 6 MS. MANNING: Madam Hearing Officer, I'm going to
- 7 continue this line of objection. The reason is, there
- 8 are several documents in the record which have been
- 9 redacted on the part of the Agency. Clearly, I suspect
- that there was debate going on in the Agency between the
- legal unit and the permit unit as to whether siting was
- applicable or was not applicable in this circumstance.
- 13 Those are all legal questions.
- To the extent to which the Agency never
- indicated to us any of its deliberative process by
- 16 redacting any memos or anything else that's in the
- 17 record that we do have and we put in the record for the
- 18 Envirite case, I would suggest that it's inappropriate
- 19 for the witness here to be testifying as to conjecture
- as to what was in the Agency's mind because all we have
- 21 and all we know is the permit denial letter.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Ms. Ryan, what is your
- 23 response to that?
- MS. RYAN: I was actually done.

- 1 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Oh. Okay. All right.
- 2 Would you like to cross-examine the witness?
- MS. MANNING: I just have a very few questions.
- 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 5 BY MS. MANNING:
- Q You were here for obviously the testimony of
- 7 Mr. Edwards?
- 8 A Yes, I was.
- 9 Q And he testified that 40 percent of the
- 10 material that becomes the residual waste is actually
- 11 reagents, correct?
- 12 A That's what he said, yes.
- 13 Q And you don't have any dispute on that based
- on the application?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q That's consistent with what's in the
- 17 application, correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q You would absolutely agree -- even for
- 20 purposes of siting they are the generator of that waste?
- 21 A Clarify your question. Are you talking about
- the 40 percent that is there?
- Q Yes. I mean, they didn't receive it from
- 24 anyone else? They bought it as product and disposed of

- 1 it, correct?
- 2 A If they wanted to buy treatment reagents and
- then dispose of those treatment reagents in their own
- 4 landfill, I think, yes, they would --
- 5 Q They are the generator?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q So for -- what is the one -- I think I said
- at the beginning two fifths of what has become the
- 9 residual waste, you have no problem with in terms of
- 10 being subject to the siting exemption? In other words,
- 11 3.3-- 3083 would apply?
- 12 A I'm not sure about your math. And also
- 13 Mr. Edwards testified that the stuff couldn't be
- 14 separated out. So, yeah, I mean, if you waved a magic
- wand and separated it and put this 40 percent in the
- 16 landfill, yes, that would be -- as long as it met
- 17 appropriate regulations.
- 18 Q And you agree that for purposes of RCRA
- 19 Peoria Disposal is, in fact, as the Supreme Court said,
- the generator for purposes of RCRA?
- 21 A I would agree that they are a generator for
- 22 purposes of RCRA.
- Q Well, in fact, you have no dispute, do you,
- that, in fact, all of the documents that were put into

- 1 evidence earlier, the Agency gets those documents?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And the Agency reviews and has not only
- 4 accepted the fact that they call themselves the
- 5 generator, you would be -- you would be holding them in
- 6 violation were they not filing those generator
- 7 statements; is that correct?
- 8 A That's correct.
- 9 Q The statutory exemption applicable here,
- section 3.330A, is the very same language, is it not,
- that was applicable during the Envirite matter?
- 12 A Well, there was a revision to the siting
- 13 rules in the early '90s. And I believe that that
- 14 revision occurred after the Envirite case. And I'm not
- 15 sure if that is the exact same language or not. I would
- 16 have to review the two different --
- 17 Q That's all right. We will put it in our
- 18 brief. We will just --
- 19 A It's similar. I will agree that it's
- 20 similar.
- 21 Q For purposes of today's action, it's really
- 22 virtually the same language that it was at the time of
- 23 the Envirite as well as the time of the Northwestern
- 24 Steel and Wire?

- 1 A I will agree with that, yes.
- 2 MS. MANNING: All right. Thank you. That's all I
- 3 have.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Ms. Ryan?
- 5 MS. MANNING: Just a second, please.
- 6 (Pause in proceedings.)
- 7 MS. MANNING: Just for purposes of the record, I'm
- 8 looking at one of the exemptions in part document. It's
- 9 exempt document number 227, file number L143812003. And
- 10 redacted from this document is a statement here in a
- memo dated July 2nd, 2007, from Mark White to Steve
- 12 Nightingale and Mark Crites. "Mr. White recommends,"
- and then that rest of the portion of that paragraph is
- 14 redacted, blacked out. So we can't ascertain what
- 15 Mr. White's opinion is. I don't assume you want to
- share with us today, do you, what Mr. White's opinion
- 17 is?
- 18 MS. RYAN: Mark may want to share it, but I don't
- 19 want him to share it.
- 20 MS. MANNING: I appreciate it. Thank you very
- 21 much. I'm fine. I'm done with the questions. Thank
- 22 you.

23

24

Τ	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. RYAN:
3	Q Mark, those documents that Claire mentioned
4	that are submitted to the Agency that indicated that PDC
5	is the generator, I believe she is referring to waste
6	locater logs and annual reports and that sort of thing.
7	Are those documents that you actually review in your job
8	as permit reviewer?
9	A Not normally except if they are included with
10	a permit application such as this.
11	MS. RYAN: Thank you. That's all I have.
12	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you, Mr. Crites.
13	Ms. Ryan, do you have anything further to
14	present?
15	MS. RYAN: I do not. Thank you.
16	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: At this time I would like to
17	confirm the briefing schedule that we discussed earlier.
18	The transcript will be available on the 9th. And the
19	Petitioner's brief is due by November 16th.
20	Respondent's brief is due by November 28th. And
21	Petitioner's reply is due by December 3rd. And the
22	mailbox rule will not apply.
23	The public comment deadline is also December
24	3rd. Public comment must be filed in a 101.628 of the

- 1 Board's procedural rules.
- 2 Would PDC like to make any closing argument?
- 3 Or reserve it for brief?
- 4 MS. MANNING: We will reserve it for brief.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. At this time I will
- take public comment that is relevant to the issue of
- 7 whether PDC's proposed residual waste landfill qualifies
- 8 for an exclusion from local siting approval. I
- 9 have -- well, I have one name up here. Ms. Pryde,
- 10 Phyllis Pryde. I will call on everyone, though, who
- wants to speak. Would you like to come up here, Ma'am?
- I also want to make another announcement.
- 13 I'm going to assume that everybody here today is making
- 14 a public comment. If anybody wants to make a sworn
- 15 statement, that is subject to cross-examination by the
- 16 parties, whereas public comment is not. So I'm assuming
- that everybody wants to make a public comment. If I'm
- 18 wrong, just let me know.
- 19 Ms. Pryde?
- MS. PRYDE: Yes.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: And that's -- I'll let you
- go ahead and spell your name for the court reporter
- 23 there.
- MS. PRYDE: Phyllis, P-h-y-l-l-i-s, Pryde,

- 1 P-r-y-d-e.
- 2 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. You may make your
- 3 statement.
- MS. PRYDE: I'm here because I'm a grandmother.
- 5 I'm very concerned about the aquifer and the quality of
- 6 our water now and hopefully for generations to come. My
- 7 husband was a Ph.D. in organic chemistry at the Northern
- 8 Research Laboratory and was extremely concerned with our
- 9 environment. I, too, have a bachelor of science degree
- 10 from Bradley University. And I know that what we do now
- is going to affect our environment for generations to
- 12 come. And it's the scariest thing I can think of.
- 13 People in companies and products try to change their
- identities all the time. In fact, if a woman has enough
- money, she can have a tummy tuck, enlarge her boobs,
- 16 have a facelift and a nose job and all new teeth and
- 17 change her hair in any color and style and put on
- 18 colored contacts and even change her name. But that
- 19 will never change who she is because you cannot change
- 20 DNA. And toxic waste is toxic waste is toxic waste, and
- 21 it is poison. It's already leaking in our aquifer. And
- 22 at the PDC laboratories we were shown loaves of concrete
- 23 mixed with treated waste.
- 24 MS. MANNING: Madam Hearing Officer, with all due

- 1 respect -- and I appreciate that the public has the
- opportunity to comment. But really the comment needs to
- be relevant to the permit application that we have
- 4 before us today.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I will make an announcement.
- 6 This isn't exactly like the last hearing. The Board is
- not going to be considering the public health, safety
- and welfare arguments that were at issue at the landfill
- 9 expansion. The testimony you have heard today regarding
- the permit issues is really all the Board is going to be
- 11 considering. I want to offer you some leeway. I don't
- want anyone here feeling like they didn't get an
- opportunity to be heard. You may wish to consider,
- though, if you have -- if you want to sit to address
- public health, safety and welfare, maybe submit a
- 16 written comment to the Board. But I don't want to
- 17 prevent anyone from saying what they came here to say,
- but I don't want to spend a lot of time on issues that
- 19 the Board is not going to be considering. So I will
- offer some leeway, but if everyone could at least keep
- it a minute or two, that would be -- you know, on that
- 22 kind of stuff that would be great.
- MS. PRYDE: I understand.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Thank you.

```
1 MS. PRYDE: In February of 2007 in this very room
```

- 2 the Peoria County Board with two absent, 16 to nothing
- 3 to prevent the expansion of PDC. Sometime in this year
- 4 probably, the Illinois Pollution Control Board in
- 5 Chicago voted 4 to nothing to prevent the expansion of
- 6 PDC. I simply am here to pray that the Illinois
- 7 Environmental Protection Agency will consider the votes
- 8 of people who have studied this for years and will not
- 9 permit an expansion of the site of PDC.
- I would hope that instead of further
- lawsuits, PDC, who has been a very good employer and run
- a clean plant under the legal auspices that they have
- had, would take that money and put if into their
- employees' retirement. That they would haul silt to
- 15 cover the old sites that have been closed and that they
- 16 would bring in more silt to cover any new sites that are
- 17 closed and plant with savanna.
- 18 There might even be funds from the Illinois
- 19 government to compensate them for acreage that is
- 20 returned to a savanna. This would be a very win win
- 21 situation, not only for PDC whose only problem has been
- 22 location. A lot of toxic materials were not known about
- until perhaps the last decade or so. I'm sure they
- 24 didn't bury toxic barrels knowing they were toxic

- 1 barrels. They have been very good to their employees.
- 2 And they have been a very fine company in this area.
- But I would hope that it could go back to being green.
- 4 And it would be a win win for not only PDC, but also for
- 5 the whole entire county and for the aquifer. Thank you.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you. Who else is here
- 7 to speak?
- (Pause in proceedings.)
- 9 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: You don't need to be sworn
- in. You are just giving a public comment, but if you
- would please spell your name for the court reporter.
- 12 DR. ZWICKY: I will. Gary Zwicky, Z-w-i-c-k-y.
- I have a couple of comments, but actually
- 14 first, a couple of questions. Mr. Edwards told us what
- chemicals will not be going into the site. One of the
- 16 questions I have, Is there any potential for chemicals
- or other compounds from Superfund cleanup sites to be
- deposited in this new facility that you are proposing?
- 19 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: You can present your
- questions into the record, but he is not required to
- 21 answer.
- 22 DR. ZWICKY: That's fine. And then I'm a simple
- 23 person but to me this issue of being a generator or
- 24 producer is a little confusing. I see this industry as

- a generator of two things, a product that they can sell
- and has value and waste that may or may not have value.
- 3 And if the product has value, it can be sold. It can be
- 4 owned and it can be sold. So if PDC is receiving money
- 5 to take in waste from other companies, then you are
- 6 saying you are generating something. I assume, that's
- 7 what you are calling your product? And you must produce
- 8 some waste also, but we just heard that because of the
- 9 chemical reaction the product and the waste are the same
- 10 thing. They can't be separated. So I assume that that
- product has value and somebody owns it. So either you
- own it or the company who produced it originally owns
- it. And how long do you own it for? Those are my two
- 14 questions for the record.
- My comment is, over 25 years ago a landfill
- 16 existed in Peoria County when new federal regulations
- 17 regarding hazardous waste disposal came into being. And
- 18 at that time it was recognized that the site was not
- 19 ideally suited for hazardous waste disposal.
- 20 Nevertheless, the site was understandably grandfathered
- and received a permit to operate for ten years.
- Now the concept of grandfather clause in
- 23 business, law or medicine or anything is one that says
- for whatever reason the person or entity seeking

- 1 permission would have a difficult time meeting the
- 2 newest applicable standards. And perhaps we might allow
- 3 that entity to operate for some period of time and see
- 4 what happens.
- Now we are told -- or have been told that the
- 6 Peoria County Board at the time of that original
- 7 grandfathering said that the site should not be
- 8 expanded. They felt that ten years -- they must have
- 9 felt that ten years was an adequate amount of time for
- the company to operate without undue hardship caused by
- 11 the new permit regulations. But the fact of the matter
- is the regulators, the Peoria County Board and we as the
- 13 public were asleep at the wheel in 1997 when the
- 14 ten-year operating permit expired. So what the public
- 15 and the Peoria County Board thought was a ten-year
- agreement unbelievably stretched out to 20 years. And
- 17 today we sit here again debating essentially another
- 18 great-grandfathering clause for PDC.
- 19 And yet today we know much more about the
- 20 health effects of heavy metals and other chemicals that
- 21 are being deposited in that site. We know what exposure
- to humans can do more than we did 20 years ago. And
- 23 many of the chemicals disposed at that landfill
- 24 including heavy metals and PCBs are now prohibited by

- 1 law from being landfilled in the European Union. The
- United States is well behind the European Union in those
- 3 regards. Today we know that Peoria children have among
- 4 the highest percentage in the nation of lead level
- 5 exposure in their blood. And we also know that Peoria
- 6 County has a high burden of cancer compared to the rest
- 7 of the state.
- So what are we as a community going to do
- 9 about that? Physicians in the Peoria area have voiced
- 10 their concern about these issues. We do not believe it
- 11 makes sense to bury hazardous compounds, known cancer
- causing and other harmful effect compounds in this
- 13 landfill. And we certainly stand opposed to importing
- 14 hazardous -- some of the most hazardous components on
- earth from EPA Superfund sites around the country and
- 16 entombing them in a poorly sited landfill here in Peoria
- whose grandfathered permit should have expired ten years
- 18 ago. Therefore, we urge the Illinois Pollution Control
- 19 Board to deny the landfill reclassification. Thank you.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Zwicky, did you say --
- 21 are you representing a group? I'm sorry, I --
- 22 DR. ZWICKY: I have spoken for physicians in Peoria
- 23 County at multiple --
- 24 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Thank you.

```
1 (Brief pause in proceedings.)
```

- 2 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Ma'am, would you spell your
- 3 name, please?
- 4 MS. BLUMENSHINE: Yes. My name is Joyce,
- J-o-y-c-e, Blumenshine, B-l-u-m-e-n-s-h-i-n-e.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Are you representing anyone
- 7 here today?
- 8 MS. BLUMENSHINE: Yes, I am. I'm here on behalf of
- 9 the Heart of Illinois Sierra Club. I'm a volunteer and
- 10 member of that group. And on behalf of Heart of
- 11 Illinois Sierra Club, I would like to give these
- 12 comments.
- 13 We thank the Illinois Pollution Control Board
- 14 for holding this hearing in Peoria today. Heart of
- 15 Illinois Sierra Club has over 800 members in the Central
- 16 Illinois area. And we appreciate the opportunity to be
- part of this hearing. This issue is a grave concern to
- the people of Peoria. Like I said, this issue of grave
- 19 concern to the people of Peoria whose children,
- 20 grandchildren and future generations will be faced with
- 21 the millions of tons of hazardous and other wastes that
- 22 PDC has buried over aquifer level sands linked to the
- 23 San Koty aquifer, our major aquifer. It is our opinion
- 24 that it is completely disingenuous and a ruse that PDC

- 1 attempts to claim that their expansion does not
- 2 constitute a new pollution control facility.
- The specifics of the PDC permit modification
- 4 request application clearly show that the expansion has
- a new vertical structure which will hugely increase the
- 6 elevation of a large section of the landfill to a
- pitched height of 45 feet above about 32 acres of the
- 8 current ground level. This will create a new mountain
- 9 of toxic waste of where no current mountain exists.
- The other part of the PDC expansion is to
- open a new lateral landfill area. The 8.2 acres of this
- new expansion are not currently part of a hazardous
- waste burial area that is being used or open at PDC.
- 14 The facts regarding their permit modification request
- show that their plan is to develop two new hazardous
- waste storage areas that do not currently exist.
- This application, in our opinion, is an
- 18 attempt to circumvent local siting authority. In May of
- 19 2006 the Peoria County Board voted with a strong
- 20 majority of 12 to 6 to deny the expansion request
- 21 presented by PDC. In using the same expansion plans as
- 22 they presented to the Peoria County Board subsequent to
- 23 the denial of that expansion, we feel PDC is
- 24 demonstrating their lack of concern for known

- 1 environmental problems at the landfill.
- The expansion would go over cell C1 which is
- 3 capped partially. The cell C1 liners in the 1980s
- 4 showed definite data showing large losses of leachate.
- 5 PDC would have to uncap this part of the cell to
- 6 continue their new vertical expansion. And this would
- 7 expose that cell to weather and other stress on the
- 8 liners.
- 9 After well over 30 years of operation as a
- 10 hazardous waste landfill, it is not now plausible to us,
- nor does it seem acceptable for PDC to claim that they
- generate waste and can expand without local siting
- 13 authority.
- 14 Heart of Illinois Sierra fully supports the
- 15 decision of the Environmental Protection Agency in
- denying this class 3 permit modification, and we hope
- 17 that that decision will be carried forward. Thank you
- 18 very much for your time.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Is there somebody in the
- 20 back?
- 21 (Brief pause in proceedings.)
- 22 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: You don't need to be sworn
- in, but if you could, please spell your name for us.
- MR. MEINRICH: Yeah. Jay, J-a-y, Meinrich,

```
1 M-e-i-n-r-i-c-h.
```

- 2 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Are you here representing
- 3 anyone?
- 4 MR. MEINRICH: No.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Just yourself? Okay. Go
- 6 ahead.
- 7 MR. MEINRICH: A brief comment. I'm against the
- 8 expansion of the landfill. And I think that the claim
- 9 of being a generator, at least in part, has some
- validity. But because of what's been said today, it's
- 11 unfounded. You can't separate it so it's unfounded, and
- 12 I just think this is a big waste of time. Thank you.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you. Was there
- anybody over here? Ma'am?
- 15 (Brief pause in proceedings.)
- 16 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Your name, please?
- 17 MS. FOX: Tracy, T-r-a-c-y, Fox, F-o-x. I'm a
- 18 citizen. I live in rural Peoria County, and I'm opposed
- 19 to PDC's expansion of the landfill. I specifically
- 20 believe that this application and the attempt to
- 21 reclassify PDC as a waste generator is a blatant attempt
- 22 to circumvent local siting authority. I believe that
- 23 common sense argues against what PDC says. They tell
- you that they commingle waste, that it involves an

- 1 exothermic process and that only 60 percent of the
- 2 constituents come from outside customers. To me the
- 3 thing is, it's waste coming in and a common citizen
- 4 would classify it as waste coming out. It has no value.
- What they do is basically just stabilize the
- 6 waste. And in my mind it's not that different from a
- 7 garbage truck compacting waste. Although that
- 8 commingles, it's exothermic, you certainly wouldn't
- 9 refer to that as a generator of waste. In my opinion
- 10 there is no difference.
- They also try to equate themselves with a
- 12 steel mill disposing of its spent pickle liquor on-site.
- 13 I think most people would understand that steel mills
- 14 generate steel; they don't generate spent pickle liquor.
- 15 Therefore, I don't think it's reasonable to argue that
- 16 PDC is a generator of waste.
- 17 I also think that they try to play some games
- with the limitations of language. They argue that
- 19 because locater logs and other reports that they submit
- 20 to the IEPA allow them to label themselves as a
- 21 generator, that somehow they are. I believe it's just
- 22 a limitation of language and that IEPA hasn't chosen to
- 23 unduly burden PDC with listing every single constituent
- 24 waste that's an input to that stream. It's simply

- shorthand so that we can have a regulatory process that
- 2 actually worked. It does not, to me, imply that PDC is,
- in fact, a waste generator. In the end I think that
- 4 PDC's actions speak much louder than their words. If
- 5 they truly believed that they were exempt from local
- 6 siting authority, that they were a waste generator, they
- 7 would not have expended the time and effort to go
- 8 through applying for an expansion with the Peoria County
- 9 Board. That, in fact, is the strongest argument to me
- 10 that PDC knows that they do not generate waste and this
- is just a last-ditch attempt to circumvent the will of
- 12 the Peoria County Board, the Illinois Pollution Control
- Board, the IEPA and the citizens of the area. Thank
- 14 you.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you.
- 16 (Applause.)
- 17 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Please hold your applause.
- 18 Ma'am?
- 19 (Brief pause in proceedings.)
- 20 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Your name, please.
- MS. OZUNA-THORNTON: Chris Ozuna, O-z-u-n-a,
- 22 hyphen, Thornton, T-h-o-r-n-t-o-n.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Is that Chris with a "C"?
- MS. OZUNA-THORNTON: C-h-r-i-s.

```
1 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Are you representing anyone
```

- 2 today?
- 3 MS. OZUNA-THORNTON: I'm hear representing myself
- 4 and my grandchildren.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: All right.
- 6 MS. OZUNA-THORNTON: I want to offer my respects to
- 7 everyone here. Personalities, principles above
- 8 personalities. I think sometimes that to get a
- 9 principle out without the benefit of the personality can
- 10 help. And so I was thinking I will change the people
- who are doing it and the place where it's happening.
- 12 And I live on the river, not far from San Koty. And so
- I was thinking I could go ahead and build my landfill
- there and I could get all my cement and other components
- 15 and mix it in two fifths. And I could get my liners and
- 16 even have concrete liners and put it out there and it
- 17 wouldn't be my fault wind storms come and the tide comes
- in and it goes out. And the point being here, I'm not a
- 19 bad person. And I might make a lot of money. I might
- even make a million a week potentially which is similar
- 21 to what PDC makes from time to time if not more. So I
- can understand their fight and their reason to be in
- 23 here. But the fact is the location of the place is just
- as dangerous as the one of mine on the river with the

- 1 flood plain coming in. It might take a little bit more
- time and it might be my grandchildren who are here
- 3 suffering. We might not feel it right away, although
- 4 it's already begun. We know it's already begun.
- 5 Do I see PDC as a generator of waste? You
- 6 know, I can buy concrete myself and I don't call it a
- 7 generator of waste when I do my post holes and it's my
- 8 place. I might have a lot of concrete and I still don't
- 9 call myself a generator of waste. Now I think it's a
- 10 farfetched -- farfetched ploy and there has been a
- four-pronged ploy before that. And the reason, as I see
- it, is to drag their feet and to continue to operate
- 13 regardless. And they promise they will continue to
- operate regardless and to appeal and appeal and appeal.
- 15 Understandably, because of the amount of money involved.
- 16 I think some people are afraid to say anything because
- of the amount of money involved. But the money, guess
- where that comes from, folks. That money, all those
- 19 millions, if not billions that they have, come from here
- 20 on the back of our little reservoir. And our citizens,
- 21 future and present, are having to pay the price for it.
- 22 I just feel that it's -- it is a waste of
- 23 time for us all to be here. This is not the last time
- 24 we will be here. It is going to continue, continue,

- 1 continue. They promised that hoping that we won't come
- 2 out anymore.
- I think because of the amount of money
- 4 involved even though the people are very nice and
- 5 wonderful people -- but the money involved has made it
- 6 impossible for them to make an ethical or a good
- 7 decision. I think the time has come for those who give
- 8 them the licensing, who give them the right to operate,
- 9 to continue, who give them any time of day to say, Go on
- and let's keep this little silliness going on forever
- until it's full. And then they will say, Okay. My
- 12 appeal has ran out. Well --
- MS. MANNING: Excuse me. Madam Hearing Officer,
- can we keep the testimony to what's relevant before the
- Board today? I appreciate the comments, but we have had
- a siting hearing. We have had a public hearing prior to
- even being here today. We need to keep the record
- 18 relevant.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I understand your
- 20 frustration. And you may make a standing objection.
- 21 Some of it has been relevant, more than I actually
- 22 expected.
- 23 (Applause.)
- 24 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Ladies and gentlemen, please

- 1 don't do that. I do want people to have -- you know,
- 2 it's important that people feel that this was a fair
- 3 hearing. As you know, the Board will be considering the
- 4 issues that are before the Board and really nothing
- 5 else. But it's a very murky area to separate the
- 6 comments directly related to what we discussed and the
- 7 testimony today to some of the other issues that may
- 8 have been discussed at the last hearing. So I'm hoping
- 9 that people will keep their comments brief. And I will
- try to cut people off if they go too long. But I would
- 11 like to give everyone at least five minutes to say what
- they want to say, you know, so that everybody leaves
- here feeling like they had a chance to participate. The
- 14 Board may consider a small portion of that; they may
- 15 not. I just don't know. But it will be there, and it
- 16 will be in the record for the Board to look at.
- MS. MANNING: As long as it has been put in the
- 18 record that public comment is not testimony.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Absolutely. Public comment
- 20 is not testimony and you may make the standing objection
- 21 to the relevance of some of the public comment we have
- 22 heard today.
- MS. OZUNA-THORNTON: I'm calling for
- 24 responsibility for the siting issue not only today but

- for those all in the past and of the future. For those
 - 2 people who are okaying it to take responsibility for
 - what they're doing today. Thank you very much.
 - 4 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Is there anybody else over
 - 5 here who would like to -- Ma'am?
 - 6 (Brief pause in proceedings.)
 - 7 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: State your name, please.
 - MS. STEVENSON: Kathy Stevenson. I had a page of
 - 9 prepared comments and a lot of them have been stated so
- 10 I will not go into those.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
- MS. STEVENSON: But I think the bottom line is my
- concern over the fact that PDC is able to reinvent
- 14 themselves as a waste generator specifically it seems to
- bypass the laws that were designed to protect the
- 16 citizens of Peoria County. And it's very clear to me
- 17 today based on the testimony by Mr. Edwards that they
- 18 are not a waste generator. If that waste were not being
- 19 trucked to their facility, they have nothing to process.
- 20 And if we are getting into fractions, two fifths is
- 21 something that they are contributing. So 40 percent is
- 22 what they are putting into it, but three fifths is what
- is coming in and it's hazardous and is what our concern
- 24 is. Without that three fifths coming in, they have

```
1 nothing to process. There is no residual waste.
```

- 2 So, again, I just don't think that we can
- just decide all of a sudden that things haven't gone our
- 4 way, let's reinvent ourselves and play by some different
- 5 rules.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you.
- 7 (Applause.)
- 8 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Ladies and gentlemen, if you
- 9 could please not applaud, I would appreciate that.
- 10 Who is next, please? Sir?
- 11 (Brief pause in proceedings.)
- 12 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Your name, please?
- 13 MR. PIOLETTI: Dan Pioletti.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Would you spell that,
- 15 please?
- 16 MR. PIOLETTI: P-i-o-l-e-t-t-i. First of all, I
- 17 have no doubt the Peoria Disposal Company is doing the
- 18 best job it can. It just comes down to location. I
- 19 live on the other side of the river in East Peoria. My
- 20 well-being is dependent on my well water which is part
- of the aguifer, I think, that's under the aguifer of the
- 22 Peoria Disposal Company. So my living is dependent on
- 23 the purity of that water. My concern is that by
- 24 changing the semantics this would take away the power of

- 1 the Peoria citizens to have any say over something that
- 2 seriously could affect their life, the welfare of their
- 3 children and their grandchildren. So that's why this
- 4 exemption I feel should not be allowed for myself and
- all the people of Peoria.
- Also, my concern is that no matter how much
- 7 plastic lining they put around the toxic waste, it
- 8 doesn't matter how much, one leak into that aquifer can
- spread for miles and miles and affect the life and
- 10 well-being of all the citizens of Peoria and the
- 11 surrounding area. So I have nothing against Peoria
- 12 Disposal Company. I think they should take the lead and
- 13 take their money and move it to a location where it
- doesn't affect such a precious commodity for the people
- of Peoria and the surrounding area. Thank you.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you. Was there
- 17 someone else over here? No one over here. And there
- was another person over here. Ma'am?
- 19 (Brief pause in proceedings.)
- 20 MS. ROACH: My name is Jean Roach, and I will make
- 21 my remarks very short. I am heartened to be here today
- 22 with a lot of people who have been involved in this
- issue over the last couple years. And I am heartened by
- the fact that the Agency, whose role is to protect the

- 1 citizens, that is, the Illinois Environmental Protection
- 2 Agency, has turned down this ruse on the part of PDC.
- 3 And I am heartened by this, and I hope that will
- 4 continue because as citizens the only thing we really do
- 5 have besides us being willing to speak out is getting
- 6 the agencies who have the laws behind them to stand up
- 7 to businesses who try to distort the law. So I am
- 8 pleased to be here and to hear the IEPA stand up for the
- 9 citizens who they represent. Thank you.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you. Was there
- another person over here? Ma'am?
- 12 (Brief pause in proceedings.)
- MS. GERARD: My name is Suzanne, S-u-z-a-n-n-e,
- 14 Gerard, G-e-r-a-r-d. I have to admit that I along with
- 15 Dr. Zwicky am confused by the semantics. If I got out
- 16 my dictionary and looked up "generate," would I find
- anything different from "produce"? I did this early on
- 18 when the same kind of argument came up involving toxic
- 19 and hazardous. And if you look in the dictionary, it's
- 20 the same thing. And now the argument is do you generate
- or do you produce? And I heard nobody make any
- 22 explanation of the difference. I don't think our laws
- 23 should be enforced on semantic basis such as this where
- you can take two words with the same meaning and try to

- 1 separate them. And as the discussion went on,
- 2 Mr. Edwards said that this process the waste was put
- 3 through made it physically more stable. But the problem
- 4 is not the physical; it is the chemical. And if it is
- 5 not chemically changed in such a way as to be
- 6 nonhazardous, how can you then claim it will be
- 7 nonhazardous?
- 8 Cement is not a permanent entity as anyone
- 9 knows who has seen all the road construction. And
- 10 churning it up with a bunch of cement does not make it a
- 11 product and does not make it permanently disposed of.
- 12 Thank you.
- HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you. Anybody else?
- 14 Ma'am?
- 15 (Brief pause in proceedings.)
- 16 MS. RAITHEL: Karen Raithel, R-a-i-t-h-e-l. I work
- for Peoria County. And I have a couple of statements to
- 18 make. Peoria County did send a letter into the public
- 19 comment earlier this year. March 2nd, 2007, there was a
- 20 letter sent to IEPA regarding public comment for Peoria
- 21 Disposal Company permit modification request. The
- 22 Peoria County Board unanimously passed a resolution at
- their February 8, 2007, meeting to voice its opposition
- 24 to Peoria Disposal Company permit modification submitted

- to IEPA on January 5, 2007. Enclosed is a copy of the
- 2 resolution that outlines the Board's position to oppose
- 3 the request.
- 4 During the landfill application review
- process, a number of special conditions were proposed by
- 6 Peoria County Board and staff as well as PDC upon
- 7 approval of this application. Peoria County feels
- strongly that the imposition of these special conditions
- 9 is the most protective of the health, welfare and safety
- 10 of the residents of Peoria County. Staff believes that
- in the absence of these special conditions, the County
- 12 could not support the approval of PDC's siting
- application as filed on November 14th, 2005.
- 14 There is a resolution that is attached as
- 15 well. Resolution: Whereas, Peoria Disposal Company has
- 16 applied for a class 3 permit modification to allow their
- 17 landfill in Peoria County to continue operation without
- 18 the siting approval of the Peoria County Board. And,
- 19 whereas, the Peoria County Board has previously denied
- 20 siting approval for proposed extension of Peoria
- 21 Disposal Company's Peoria County landfill facility.
- 22 And, whereas, Peoria Disposal Company's application for
- 23 a class 3 permit modification is identical to the
- 24 application the Peoria County Board denied except that

- the proposed modification would only roughly -- apply to
- 2 roughly 60 percent of their current waste streams.
- And, whereas, peoria Disposal Company's application for
- 4 a class 3 permit modification does not include various
- 5 conditions discussed during the proceedings before the
- 6 Peoria County Board and its subsidiary bodies. And
- 7 whereas the Illinois EPA is currently accepting public
- 8 comment on Peoria Disposal Company's application for a
- 9 permit -- class 3 permit modification.
- 10 And, therefore, be it resolved that the
- 11 Peoria County Board is opposed to Peoria Disposal
- 12 Company's pending application for a class 3 permit
- modification because granting of the application would
- 14 deprive Peoria County of local siting authority and
- would not provide appropriate special conditions to
- 16 protect the interest of Peoria County and its citizens.
- 17 The county administrator has directed to
- 18 communicate to IEPA that the County opposes the action
- 19 that deprives Peoria County of authority and prevents
- 20 Peoria County from imposing conditions deemed
- 21 appropriate by the Peoria County Board. Respectfully
- 22 submitted, the Health and Environmental Issues Committee
- 23 on February 8, 2007, which was approved by a roll call
- vote of 16 ayes.

- I also want to mention that IEPA did send a
- letter to PDC regarding this permit and that Illinois
- 3 EPA's final decision on Peoria Disposal Company's class
- 4 3 modification request for landfill expansion on August
- 5 30th, 2007, IEPA denied PDC's request for a class 3
- 6 permit modification for expansion of PDC number 1
- 7 landfill by addition of residual based landfill. The
- 8 Agency's denial is based on a lack of permission from
- 9 the local siting authority, the Peoria County Board.
- 10 So with those comments, I would like to
- 11 submit into the record saying that Peoria County would
- 12 like to have its say for siting authority be brought
- 13 forward.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: What is your position with
- 15 the County? Did you say?
- MS. RAITHEL: I am recycling and resource
- 17 conservation director.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Is that anything you can
- 19 give to the court reporter?
- 20 MS. RAITHEL: Sure.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: You read some things and I
- think you read it rather quickly so I think she would
- 23 probably like a copy to type it in, not as an exhibit,
- of course.

- 1 MS. MANNING: Right. I would suggest again that
- 2 this is public comment. I'm not entitled to
- 3 cross-examine the witnesses.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: You are absolutely right.
- 5 MS. MANNING: And so we have to sit and listen to
- 6 this as public comment. And I'm just going to again
- 7 reiterate that it is not evidence before the Board. It
- 8 is simply public comment.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: You are absolutely correct.
- 10 (Pause in proceedings.)
- MS. CONVERSE: Kim Converse, K-i-m,
- 12 C-o-n-v-e-r-s-e.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I'm sorry, I didn't catch
- 14 that.
- MS. CONVERSE: Kim Converse.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Kim Converse?
- MS. CONVERSE: Uh-huh.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Are you representing anyone?
- 19 MS. CONVERSE: I'm representing Peoria Families
- 20 Against Toxic Waste. And I also did want to clarify for
- 21 the record -- Dr. Zwicky just had to leave, but I don't
- 22 think it was on the record that he is Dr. Zwicky rather
- 23 than mister. So I told him I would do that.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you.

```
MS. CONVERSE: I want to thank you, Ms. Webb, for
 1
      being here today as well as everyone. I'm here today as
      a member of Peoria Families Against Toxic Waste. And we
 3
      want to thank the Illinois EPA for their ruling against
      PDC's class 3 permit modification request. And we
      respectfully ask that the Illinois Pollution Control
      Board uphold the IEPA's decision. The law is clear that
      the Peoria County Board has jurisdiction over any
      expansion decision in our county. Our county board
 9
10
      voted 18 to 0 to express its belief that any decision
      related to the landfill's expansion should remain local.
11
12
      And we ask you to ensure our County's right is
13
      protected.
14
                 The idea that PDC generates this waste that
      gets trucked in from multiple states defies common
15
             In fact, the County Board last spring -- County
16
      sense.
17
      Board meeting rather, Mr. Meginnes even stated --
      speaking about a different issue, quote -- and I am
18
      quoting here. And there is a video in the other room if
19
      you would like to see it. I quote, "We are in the
20
21
      service business. We don't generate the hazardous
            Just remember that. Our customers do, " end
22
      waste.
      quote.
23
24
                 PDC itself described this effort to bypass
```

- 1 County Board approval as its, quote, "last backdoor
- 2 plan" to the Peoria Journal Star. We ask that the
- 3 Pollution Control Board pass this back to our -- and
- 4 uphold the Illinois EPA's decision. Thank you.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you.
- 6 (Brief pause in proceedings.)
- 7 MS. BUCKLAR: Tessie Bucklar, T-e-s-s-i-e,
- B-u-c-k-l-a-r.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Are you representing someone
- 10 today?
- 11 MS. BUCKLAR: I'm a member of Peoria Families
- 12 Against Toxic Waste.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
- MS. BUCKLAR: I just want to -- obviously, I agree
- 15 with lot of things that have been said here that I think
- 16 most of us in this room realize that PDC does not
- 17 actually generate the waste that they landfill in this
- 18 facility. And I just want to clarify that. There was a
- 19 meeting, a public hearing PDC held at their laboratories
- in January. And I have a transcript here. There is
- just a couple of things that I had asked some questions.
- I think these were all answered by Ron Edwards of PDC.
- 23 And this is on page 22 of the transcript. And I had
- 24 asked him if he would be transporting hazardous waste in

- 1 from other states.
- 2 He replied, "Yes."
- I said, "So you are not actually creating the
- waste in the other state before you bring it here?"
- 5 He said, "No we are not."
- I asked him, "You are not actually creating
- 7 the waste. It's coming from another state; is that
- 8 correct?"
- 9 "The waste comes from the outside; that is
- 10 correct, " answered Mr. Edwards.
- 11 I asked, "And does it come from a PDC
- 12 facility?"
- Mr. Edwards answered "No."
- I asked, "So does it come from another
- 15 company?"
- Mr. Edwards answered "Right." So I just -- I
- think that highlights very clearly that this waste is
- not coming from -- it's not from a product they
- 19 generate. They are not a manufacturer. These are other
- 20 people's wastes that are coming in that they are
- landfilling. And I am very much opposed to this, and I
- 22 hope that you will deny this request.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you. And I also would
- 24 like to remind the parties that you are allowed to

- address public comments in your post hearing briefs if
- 2 you have any comments on the comments.
- 3 (Pause in proceedings.)
- MR. WENTWORTH: My name is David Wentworth,
- W-e-n-t-w-o-r-t-h.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: And you also are
- 7 representing the Peoria Families Against --
- MR. WENTWORTH: Yeah. I will get to that, yes.
- 9 My name is Dave Wentworth and I'm an attorney
- 10 representing Peoria Families Against Toxic Waste and
- 11 also Heart of Illinois Sierra Club. And in addition I'm
- one of the 53,190 people that live within three miles of
- this facility. So I have a little bit of insight. I'm
- 14 also one of them.
- I would like to thank the -- as I counted,
- 16 the 48 people that are sitting on the other side of the
- 17 horseshoe so not counting the parties or the counsel
- 18 that have been here for this entire hearing now that we
- 19 are into the hour and a half.
- I would like to take -- to correct the record
- just very briefly in the beginning. Ms. Manning
- 22 indicated this was a residential waste facility on two
- 23 separate occasions. I think that was a little bit of a
- 24 slip.

- 1 MS. MANNING: Excuse me. It's residual.
- MR. WENTWORTH: Residual. Perhaps she though that
- 3 because some of the houses and apartments are only 200
- 4 feet from the border of this facility.
- 5 The class 3 permit modification request
- encompasses an identical site and facility which PDC
- 7 proposed before the Peoria County Board. Now in the
- 8 opening it was said that this was a specific design to
- 9 qualify for this class 3. It wasn't a specific design.
- 10 It was the design already pending before the Pollution
- 11 Control Board after Peoria County denied it. It wasn't
- even on the shelf to take off the shelf and put here.
- 13 It was already still on their desks. And it was just
- 14 the exact same expansion. I believe it's already been
- 15 talked about by Mrs. Blumenshine about the vertical and
- 16 lateral expansions.
- So it's the same expansion area proposed in
- 18 the siting authority -- in the siting application that
- 19 was denied by the Peoria County Board. Now on January
- 20 26th of 2007, over 50 people attended the meeting at the
- 21 PDC laboratories -- kind of snaking through the hallways
- 22 there. And during that time it was candidly
- 23 acknowledged by PDC that a previous class 3 modification
- 24 had been denied by the Agency. In addition, it was

- acknowledged by PDC that this was the exact same permit.
- 2 That the permit that would be issued from this would be
- 3 the same one that would be issued had local siting been
- 4 approved.
- Now in the -- after they filed it, during the
- 6 pendency of the matter before the Illinois Pollution
- 7 Control Board, PDC admitted through its counsel that,
- 9 quote, "It's our backup plan." Now this is a very,
- 9 very, very expensive backup plan. Because if PDC
- 10 thought that they did not need local siting authority,
- why did they waste everybody's time at the local siting?
- 12 Why didn't they just go through, bring in some
- 13 professional Springfield lawyers with affiliation to the
- 14 Pollution Control Board and run with this first. This
- was a very expensive backup plan where PDC spent
- 16 \$505,865.95 for outside fees associated with a local
- 17 siting application. That does not include attorneys'
- 18 fees. Of that \$222,239.26 was spent in PDC's expert
- 19 fees and other costs related to the siting hearing.
- 20 Certainly --
- 21 MS. MANNING: Madam Hearing Officer, if I might,
- 22 Mr. Wentworth is a lawyer as well. We need -- he knows
- 23 to keep things relevant to this particular issue before
- 24 the Board. That's not relevant. Thank you. Would you

- admonish him, please, to stay with the issues? He was
- obviously with the issues on a lot of this, but the
- 3 attorneys' fees were -- this is a business. They are
- 4 doing business. This is irrelevant.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: All right. Let's keep it --
- 6 MR. WENTWORTH: I will tie it together right now if
- 7 I may.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Please.
- 9 MR. WENTWORTH: Thank you. The reason why this is
- 10 all relevant, is I respectfully submit that where the
- 11 Agency as part of the basis of its denial said that it
- 12 had not gone through local siting, anything associated
- with local siting should be fair game for the Pollution
- 14 Control Board. Especially -- especially where the
- documents submitted -- they are called application for
- 16 local siting approval of a pollution control facility.
- 17 Now this was denied by the Peoria County Board. And
- that denial was affirmed by the Illinois Pollution
- 19 Control Board in case 06184, and they did that on
- 20 June 21st, 2007.
- 21 Now it's the Pollution Control Board
- 22 respectfully should be able to see that if what PDC says
- 23 in one application that it appealed and still called it
- 24 a pollution control facility before that entity, the

- decision maker Pollution Control Board, they now can't
- 2 turn around and call it something else in that matter.
- Now the -- in short, there is the last to
- 4 treat regarding the hazardous waste streams under
- section 39H of the Environmental Protection Act do not
- 6 equal the, quote, "four wastes generated by such
- 7 person's own activities including the definition section
- 8 3.3383. In short, this is a case about section 39C.
- 9 PDC has attempted to make this a case about section 39H,
- and they need a local permit. Stated differently, this
- 11 may be not unlike a concurrent jurisdiction case for
- 12 siting where more than one jurisdiction can be there.
- 13 It's really a case where everybody could be right.
- 14 Where for their waste streams under the Envirite case
- they could be right that what comes out associated with
- 16 39H is they're a generator, but that does not apply to
- 17 39C nor the definition under the Act. That's all that I
- 18 have. Thank you.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you.
- 20 Mr. Edwards? You're Tom Edwards, right?
- 21 MR. EDWARDS: I'm Tom Edwards, no relation to Ron
- 22 Edwards. We are friends, though.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Would you like to sit down?
- MR. EDWARDS: I am a member of the Sierra Club.

- 1 Also I am representing River Rescue which started this
- 2 whole process four years ago. And it's kind of a loose
- 3 organization with a number of people, some in the
- 4 audience. Anyway, the background, under its current
- 5 expiring operational permit, Peoria Disposal Company is
- 6 considered a receiver and disposer of highly toxic waste
- 7 now a nearly full toxic waste landfill on Peoria's west
- 8 edge.
- 9 About 90 percent of that waste delivered to
- 10 PDC from an untold number of industries and communities
- in 15 different states. For four years PDC has been
- seeking a new permit from the County to expand its
- 13 landfill. But Peoria County Board, realizing the danger
- of this growing hill of toxics, denied PDC a new permit.
- 15 That decision was upheld by the Illinois Pollution
- 16 Control Board.
- 17 PDC is now trying a different tactic. They
- are proposing that itself be considered a sole generator
- 19 of the hazardous waste it takes in rather than the
- 20 sources themselves. The business and cities and 15
- 21 states that produce -- that is, generate and deliver it
- 22 to PDC's dump site.
- 23 But PDC says it, rather than the actual
- 24 producers of the waste, that it can claim on paper to be

- 1 the generator because PDC adds a bit of what it calls
- 2 treatment, a bit of treatment. The gain to PDC would be
- 3 to be named generator rather than disposer of the waste
- 4 brought to it. It would no longer need a Peoria County
- 5 permit for both expansion and operation of its landfill.
- 6 But the IEPA has denied PDC that classification because
- 7 it would be a travesty of truth to say PDC is a
- generator rather than a disposer of the waste it
- 9 receives throughout much of the nation. PDC's so-called
- 10 treatment is basically mixing the waste with a
- 11 nonhardening form of cement or clay which mostly keeps
- the toxic materials, which are very light, from being
- 13 blown away.
- The waste is also left exposed to the air to
- 15 cure, cure, which lets volatile chemicals volatilize and
- 16 escape into the air. And most of the 843 chemicals the
- 17 EPA permit allows in this landfill are volatile. That's
- 18 according to a chemistry professor from Bradley
- 19 University. Even lead compounds volatilize to a degree.
- 20 This additional waste would be deposited on top of and
- 21 alongside the massive already five-story high hill of
- 22 toxic waste already there thus adding to its dangers.
- 23 If given this generator classification, PDC says it
- 24 would stop accepting MPG type waste, manufacturing type

- 1 waste because it cannot be disposed of as above. But
- the EPA permit still allows 842 other toxic chemicals to
- 3 be put in the landfill. And PDC recently sought to add
- one more toxic waste, PCB's, polychlorinated biphenyls,
- 5 now banned from almost all commercial use. And it has
- to be thrown away somewhere, and they are trying to get
- 7 it in this landfill.
- A glaring omission in overall EPA
- 9 regulations, PDC's landfill is immediately atop the
- 10 aquifer which is Peoria's main water supply. The Peoria
- area's main water supply. And Peoria is immediately
- downwind of the PDC landfill's gaseous emissions. And
- 13 there are emissions. We have the highest toxic release
- inventory in the state and fifteenth highest in the
- 15 nation. The state EPA official said such considerations
- 16 are outside EPA's authority. I hope he is wrong. How
- can this be true? The question, too, is why did PDC
- wait until now to try to bypass County permit authority
- over its landfill if it really thinks that is a
- legitimate point? But you know it is. Thank you.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Edwards, do you want to
- give the -- is that something you can part with?
- MR. EDWARDS: Yes. I've got a few additional
- things I ad-libbed.

- 1 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: It makes it easier for her
- 2 to fill in any of the blanks she may have missed.
- Would anyone else like to speak here today?
- 4 MR. EDWARDS: Can I add one thing?
- 5 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Why don't you submit it in a
- 6 written comment, Mr. Edwards?
- 7 MR. EDWARDS: Okay.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Is there anyone else who
- 9 would like to speak today?
- 10 You already spoke today. I would prefer you
- 11 submit anything further in a written comment if that's
- okay. Anybody we haven't heard from yet?
- 13 (No audible response.)
- 14 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: All right. Would the
- parties like to add anything before we wrap things up?
- 16 MS. MANNING: I don't have a closing statement.
- 17 I'm going to do it on the brief.
- 18 MS. RYAN: You had a comment about comments.
- 19 MS. MANNING: My only comment about comments was I
- 20 have already made it through these comments; we are not
- 21 allowed to cross-examine any of these witnesses.
- 22 Accordingly, the Board can't consider any of the
- information presented in public comment as fact. We
- 24 can, of course, and the hearing officer indicated, we

- 1 can argue against those comments in our briefs, but that
- 2 doesn't make them facts because we have not been allowed
- 3 to cross-examine them. So that's the comment I want to
- 4 make about that.
- 5 We want to do closing statements. We will do
- that in our brief. I do, however, have a motion that I
- 7 would like to make prior to the close of this hearing.
- 8 And that is that I would move that the Agency submit in
- 9 the record all its redacted comments. All of the
- information that has been redacted from the record, I
- 11 think after today's hearing, is clear ought to be in the
- 12 record. And I would like the Hearing Officer to order
- 13 that all redacted information be submitted.
- 14 MS. RYAN: The information that was redacted from
- the permit reviewer's notes there was an -- Counsel
- 16 indicated there was an introductory paragraph and then
- 17 redacted information as well as the attached memorandum
- 18 that was redacted. Those were all attorney work product
- 19 and attorney/client privilege documents. They were
- 20 created by Mr. Mark White in response to his client's
- 21 request for legal advice. The decision of the Agency
- 22 was clear in the permit denial letter. And I think the
- 23 thought processes of the attorneys of the Agency are not
- 24 relevant to the Board's consideration of this. And even

- 1 to the extent that they are relevant, they remain
- 2 privileged. And I don't think that they should be
- disclosed to anybody
- 4 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Well, I'm not going to grant
- the motion because I don't feel that I can comfortably
- do so at this hearing without knowing completely what
- 7 the issues are and having actual written motions and
- 8 such. So I'm not going to grant that motion at this
- 9 time.
- MS. MANNING: Could I ask whether you will deny the
- motion so that we can bring it to the Board and/or at
- least develop an ability for us to develop these
- 13 arguments in writing?
- 14 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Yes. Yes. I will do that.
- 15 I will deny the motion so that you can -- you may raise
- 16 the motion with the Board. I don't know that you need
- me to deny it.
- MR. MANNING: Mr. White, of course, is a land
- 19 attorney of well repute. He has done a lot of the land
- 20 regulations throughout the course of the Agency's
- 21 history. So it's important that the Agency not be in a
- 22 position to testify to one thing here and have things
- 23 redacted in the record that are contrary to its public
- 24 position and its position in its permit denial letter.

```
HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I understand your point. I
 1
      just don't feel comfortable making that ruling with the
      limited amount of knowledge that I have in front of me
      on that.
                 Is there anything further anyone would like
      to discuss before we wrap things up?
           MS. MANNING: No.
           MS. RYAN: No.
 8
 9
           HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I will now proceed to make a
10
      statement as to the credibility of the two witnesses
      testifying during this hearing. Based on my legal
11
12
      judgment and experience, I find both the witnesses
      testifying to be credible. At this time I will conclude
13
14
      the proceedings. We stand adjourned. And I thank
      everybody for their participation today.
15
16
17
                        (Whereupon, the proceedings concluded
18
                        at 10:50 a.m.)
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

	Page 84
1 .	STATE OF ILLINOIS)
2	COUNTY OF PEORIA)
3	
4	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
5	
6	I, GALE G. EVERHART, CSR-RPR, Notary Public in and
7	for the County of Peoria, State of Illinois, do hereby
8	certify that the foregoing transcript, consisting of
9	pages 1 through 83, both inclusive, constitutes a true
10	and accurate transcript of the original stenographic
11	notes recorded by me of the foregoing proceedings had
12	before Hearing Officer Carol Webb, in Peoria,
13	Illinois, on the 6th day of November, 2007.
14	
15	Dated this 8th day of November, 2007.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	Dale Erlahent
22	GALE G. EVERHART, CSR-RPR Illinois License No. 084-004217
23	
24	

A	action 39:21	affirmed 75:18	81:2	80:11,15 83:5
ability 82:12	66:18	afraid 57:16	allows 78:17	Anyway 77:4
able 21:7 34:4	actions 55:4	after 15:6 24:2	79:2	apartments 73:3
60:13 75:22	activities 9:8	25:1 29:2	almost 79:5	appeal 1:5 6:14
about 21:11 23:6	12:5 21:21	39:14 52:9	along 63:14	6:17,22 7:21
34:6 37:21	76:7	73:11 74:5	alongside 78:21	7:22 8:21
38:12 43:5	actual 77:23	81:11	already 6:1,4	29:21 57:14,14
45:22 48:19	82:7	again 48:17 61:2	13:18 21:4	57:14 58:12
49:9,10 51:7	actually 16:10	68:1,6	23:11 29:6	appealed 75:23
69:18 73:15,15	25:24 31:18	against 10:16	33:10 34:2	appeals 12:18
76:8,9 77:9	36:24 37:10	53:7,23 62:11	43:21 57:4,4	appear 18:8
80:18,19 81:4	41:7 46:13	68:20 69:3,4	73:10,13,14	appearance 5:5
above 51:7 56:7	55:2 58:21	70:12 72:7,10	78:21,22 80:10	APPEARANC
79:1	70:17 71:3,6	81:1	80:20	2:6
above-captioned	add 22:12 79:3	agencies 63:6	although 54:7	appearing 5:12
1:14	80:4,15	Agency 1:6 2:17	57:3	appears 17:19
absence 65:11	added 23:3	5:16 6:18,19	among 49:3	Appellate 9:18
absent 45:2	24:11	9:16 10:17	amount 48:9	appendix 18:20
absolutely 37:19	adding 22:14	12:8,15 29:9	57:15,17 58:3	19:8 20:5 25:8
59:19 68:4,9	78:22	31:21 35:20	83:3	26:15
acceptable 52:11	addition 8:13	36:9,10,14	and/or 5:23	applaud 61:9
acceptable 32.11	22:22,24 67:7	39:1,3 41:4	82:11	applause 55:16
39:4	72:11 73:24	45:7 52:15	announcement	55:17 58:23
accepting 4:22	additional 13:21	62:24 63:2	42:12 44:5	61:7
11:17 66:7	78:20 79:23	73:24 75:11	annual 26:18,23	applicable 7:7
78:24	additives 8:17	81:8,21,23	27:10,12 41:6	20:13 36:12,12
accommodate	address 44:14	82:21	another 11:11	39:9,11 48:2
7:16 8:1	72:1	Agency's 4:6	42:12 48:17	applicant 10:6
according 33:22	adds 78:1	6:16,22 36:20	62:18 63:11	application 4:7
35:4 78:18	adequate 48:9	67:8 82:20	71:7,14	6:1,2 7:24 8:14
accordingly 9:5	adjacent 19:2,17	ago 47:15 48:22	answer 35:22	10:20 12:20
9:23 34:5	adjourned 83:14	49:18 77:2	46:21	16:22,23 17:4
80:22	adjustment 24:8	agree 13:11,20	answered 23:11	17:7,23 18:9
accountable	administrative	33:12 35:15	70:22 71:10,13	18:20,23 19:8
13:5	17:12 18:3	37:19 38:18,21	71:16	19:21,23 20:5
accuracy 17:6	27:16	39:19 40:1	anybody 42:14	20:16 21:7,18
accurate 18:9	administrator	70:14	53:14 60:4	25:8 26:15
84:10	66:17	agreement 48:16	64:13 80:12	27:20,22,23
achieve 22:6	admit 63:14	ahead 42:22	82:3	29:20,23 30:1
25:2	admitted 3:18	53:6 56:13	anymore 58:2	30:8,11,15,17
achieves 21:4	14:17,18 74:7	aids 11:4	anyone 37:24	30:18,23 32:1
acknowledged	admonish 75:1	air 78:14,16	44:12,17 50:6	32:6 33:15,19
73:23 74:1	advice 81:21	allow 24:22	53:3 56:1 64:8	33:23 34:3,8
acquire 23:8	ad-libbed 79:24	34:16 35:21	68:18 80:3,8	34:10,18,19,21
acreage 45:19	aerial 19:13	48:2 54:20	83:5	35:5,6,16
acres 51:7,11	affairs 15:24	65:16	anything 28:11	37:14,17 41:10
act 4:24 7:2,9,9	affect 43:11 62:2	allowed 4:16	34:3,14 36:16	44:3 51:4,17
9:6 30:24	62:9,14	8:10 11:6,13	41:13 47:23	53:20 65:4,7
33:10 76:5,17	affiliation 74:13	25:3 62:4	57:16 63:17	65:13,22,24
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	affiliations 16:2	71:24 80:21	67:18 75:12	66:3,8,12,13
				Į.

T-1		7	A STATE OF THE STA	
73:18 74:17	34:5 42:2 55:9	31:7	bays 22:10	61:18
75:15,23	63:18,20	authorizations	became 30:13	between 9:12
applications	arguments 12:10	31:17	31:20	12:15 36:10
31:8	44:8 82:13	available 24:6	become 38:8	big 53:12
applied 9:15	around 24:13,15	26:8 41:18	becomes 23:16	billions 57:19
65:16	49:15 62:7	Avenue 2:3,18	23:19 37:10	bind 24:15
applies 7:5 9:6	76:2	aware 10:4 11:5	before 1:17 2:2	biphenyls 79:4
9:17 28:1	ascertain 40:14	away 11:19 57:3	4:18 6:4,14,21	bit 57:1 72:13,23
apply 13:4,14	ash 22:14 23:2	61:24 78:13	7:22 9:15	78:1,2
27:24 38:11	asked 70:21,24	79:6	10:20 12:13,16	blacked 40:14
41:22 66:1	71:6,11,14	ayes 66:24	12:20,24 31:4	blanks 80:2
76:16	asleep 48:13	A.D 1:19	35:16 44:4	blatant 53:21
applying 55:8	assess 4:13	a.m 1:19 4:5	57:11 58:14	blood 49:5
appreciate 13:5	assistant 5:15	83:18	59:4 66:5 68:7	blown 78:13
40:20 44:1	associated 29:11	05.10	71:4 73:7,10	Blumenshine
50:16 58:15	74:16 75:12	В	74:6,23 75:24	50:4,5,8 73:15
61:9	76:15	B 17:18 19:24	80:15 83:6	board 1:1 2:2
approach 17:9	Association 16:4	20:5,8	84:12	4:3,9,18 6:5,11
18:16	assume 14:17	bachelor 29:18	began 20:3	6:12,14,17,21
appropriate	40:15 42:13	43:9	begin 19:24	7:8,23 9:15,21
12:10 38:17	47:6,10	back 7:23 46:3	beginning 4:5	10:20 11:3
66:15,21	assuming 42:16	52:20 57:20	38:8 72:21	12:13,16,17,24
approval 4:20	atop 79:9	70:3	begun 57:4,4	13:18 16:11,14
7:24 30:14	attached 33:19	backdoor 70:1	behalf 2:11,15	44:6,10,16,19
31:3 42:8 65:7	65:14 81:17	background	2:20 5:7,10,12	45:2,4 48:6,12
65:12,18,20	attempt 51:18	29:17 77:4	50:8,10	48:15 49:19
70:1 75:16	53:20,21 55:11	backup 74:8,9	behind 49:2 63:6	50:13 51:19,22
approve 35:23	attempted 76:9	74:15	being 8:7 15:6	55:9,12,13
approved 66:23	attempted 70.9	bad 56:19	19:17 24:11	58:15 59:3,4
74:4	attempts 31.1	bag 32:13	29:2 30:12	59:14,16 64:22
approving 31:19	attended 73.20 attorney 2:13,18	banned 79:5	38:10 46:3,23	65:6,18,19,24
	5:15 11:15	barrels 45:24	47:17 48:21	66:6,11,21
approximately 8:9,17 31:15	72:9 81:18	46:1	49:1 51:13	67:9 68:7 69:7
aquifer 43:5,21	82:19	base 22:7 24:21	53:9 56:18	69:8,9,16,17
46:5 50:22,23	attorneys 2:9	25:2	58:17 60:18	70:1,3 73:7,11
50:23 61:21,21	74:17 75:3	based 9:1 35:9	63:5 69:2	73:19 74:7,14
62:8 79:10	81:23	35:23 37:13	78:12	74:24 75:14,17
arc 32:12	attorney/client	60:17 67:7,8	belief 69:10	75:19,21 76:1
area 16:24 19:22	81:19	83:11	believe 7:3 14:2	77:13,16 80:22
19:22 46:2	audible 80:13	basically 54:5	32:19,20 39:13	82:11,16
49:9 50:16	audience 77:4	78:10	41:5 49:10	Board's 5:1,2
51:11,13 55:13	August 67:4	basis 6:23 11:21	53:20,22 54:21	10:24 13:2
59:5 62:11,15	auspices 45:12	12:19 14:4	73:14	42:1 65:2
73:17	authority 13:3	31:10 63:23	believed 55:5	81:24
areas 51:16	51:18 52:13	75:11	believes 13:13	bodies 66:6
area's 79:11	53:22 55:6	Bates 17:12	65:10	and the second s
Therefore a court was as and as		Bates-stamped	benefit 56:9	body 31:18 boobs 43:15
argue 9:19 11:21	66:14,19 67:9 67:12 73:18	18:5,20 19:9	The second of th	The second residence of the se
54:15,18 81:1	Activities to the control of the con	20:6 25:9	besides 63:5 best 11:1 17:23	border 73:4 both 26:11 78:5
argues 53:23	74:10 79:16,18 authorization	26:16	24:5 32:6	83:12 84:9
argument 12:7	aumorizadon		24.3 32.0	05.12 04.9

N N	Í	Î .	Í	ī
bottom 60:12	B-u-c-k-l-a-r	certainly 49:13	63:9 66:16	comfortable
bought 37:24	70:8	54:8 74:20	claim 51:1 52:11	83:2
boundary 19:13		CERTIFICATE	53:8 64:6	comfortably
Box 2:19	C	84:4	77:24	82:5
Bradley 43:10	C 19:22 55:23	certification	claimed 13:14	coming 14:3
78:18	call 14:20,23	17:15,17	Claire 2:13 5:7	22:11 23:19
Brian 2:8 5:11	15:1 21:3	certify 17:6 84:8	41:3	28:2 54:3,4
brief 12:11	28:13,15 39:4	chain 22:15	clarify 37:21	57:1 60:23,24
39:18 41:19,20	42:10 57:6,9	chairman 16:5	68:20 70:18	71:7,18,20
42:3,4 50:1	66:23 76:2	chamber 22:12	class 10:5 30:2	commencing
52:21 53:7,15	called 15:6 24:20	22:13,17	52:16 65:16,23	1:19
55:19 59:9	29:2 75:15,23	chance 59:13	66:4,9,12 67:3	comment 4:16
60:6 61:11	calling 47:7	change 22:5	67:5 69:5 73:5	41:23,24 42:6
62:19 63:12	59:23	43:13,17,18,19	73:9,23	42:14,16,17
64:15 70:6	calls 78:1	43:19 56:10	classification	44:2,2,16
80:17 81:6	came 33:11	changed 31:15	78:6,23	46:10 47:15
briefing 41:17	44:17 47:17	64:5	classify 54:4	53:7 59:18,19
briefly 15:17	63:18	changes 23:15	clause 47:22	59:21 64:19,20
16:1,16,21	cancer 49:6,11	24:3	48:18	66:8 68:2,6,8
18:11 19:19	candidly 73:22	changing 24:8	clay 78:11	80:6,11,18,19
72:21	capacities 15:21	61:24	clay-like 23:20	80:23 81:3
briefs 72:1 81:1	capacity 22:20	charge 24:14,14	clean 45:12	comments 3:14
bring 45:16 71:4	capped 52:3	chemical 24:3	cleanup 46:17	4:17 12:6
74:12 82:11	Carol 1:17 2:4	47:9 64:4	clear 4:12 13:3	46:13 50:12
brought 10:16	4:2 84:12	chemically 64:5	30:13 33:9	58:15 59:6,9
23:13 24:17	carried 52:17	chemicals 46:15	60:16 69:7	60:9 67:10
67:12 78:4	case 4:6,10 7:21	46:16 48:20,23	81:11,22	72:1,2,2 80:18
BROWN 2:12	10:16 11:18,22	78:15,16 79:2	clearly 36:9 51:4	80:19,20 81:1
Bucklar 70:7,7	13:12 14:1,6	chemistry 43:7	71:17	81:9
70:11,14	16:22 33:6	78:18	client's 81:20	commercial 36:5
build 56:13	36:18 39:14	Chicago 45:5	close 81:7	79:5
building 22:9	75:19 76:8,9	children 49:3	closed 45:15,17	commingle
built 11:13	76:11,13,14	50:19 62:3	closing 42:2	53:24
bunch 64:10	cases 12:6	chosen 54:22	80:16 81:5	commingled
burden 49:6	case-by-case	Chris 55:21,23	Club 50:9,11,15	22:11
54:23	31:10	churning 64:10	72:11 76:24	commingles 54:8
Bureau 29:9	catch 68:13	circumstance	coal 21:11	committee 16:5
burial 51:13	cause 12:2	36:12	code 32:21	16:6,7,15
buried 50:22	caused 22:21	circumstances	cohesive 22:20	66:22
bury 45:24	48:10	11:7	color 43:17	commodity
49:11	causing 49:12	circumvent	colored 43:18	62:14
business 47:23	cell 34:21 35:2	51:18 53:22	combine 24:13	common 53:23
69:21 75:3,4	52:2,3,5,7	55:11	come 10:2 35:16	54:3 69:15
77:20	cement 22:14	cites 12:3	42:11 43:6,12	communicate
businesses 63:7	23:3 56:14	cities 77:20	54:2 56:17	66:18
buy 38:2 57:6	64:8,10 78:11	citizen 53:18	57:19 58:1,7	communities
bypass 60:15	cementetious	54:3	71:11,14	77:10
69:24 79:18	24:12	citizens 55:13	comes 56:17	community 49:8
B-l-u-m-e-n-s	Central 50:15	57:20 60:16	57:18 61:18	compactible
50:5	certain 31:4	62:1,10 63:1,4	71:9 76:15	23:20
		I.	I.	1

ī			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3	
	compacting 54:7	66:15,20	continuing	49:6,23 51:19	15:13 16:16
	companies 43:13	conduct 4:11	18:16	51:22 53:18	51:8,9 66:2
	47:5	30:7	contrary 82:23	55:8,12 60:16	77:4
	company 1:3 4:4	conducted 5:1	contributing	64:17,18,22	currently 4:17
	5:8,10 7:1 9:6	conducts 9:7	60:21	65:6,7,10,11	7:21 8:9 16:18
	9:12,13 10:18	confirm 41:17	control 1:1 2:2	65:17,18,19,21	20:9,12,23
	10:21 15:14	confused 63:15	4:3,9,20 6:4,11	65:24 66:6,11	51:12,16 66:7
	46:2 47:12	confusing 46:24	6:12 7:5,6,18	66:14,16,17,18	customers 23:4
	48:10 61:17,22	conjecture 36:19	7:19 9:21,22	66:19,20,21	23:13 24:18
	62:12 64:21,24	conservation	12:3 16:11,14	67:9,11,15	54:2 69:22
	65:15 71:15	67:17	36:3 45:4	69:8,9,9,16,16	cut 59:10
	77:5	consider 44:13	49:18 50:13	70:1 73:7,11	C-h-r-i-s 55:24
	Company's	45:7 59:14	51:2 55:12	73:19 75:17	C-o-n-v-e-r-s-e
	65:21,22 66:3	80:22	69:6 70:3	77:12,13 78:4	68:12
	66:8,12 67:3	consideration	73:11 74:7,14	79:18 84:2,7	C-r-i-t-e-s 28:21
	compare 35:11	36:2 81:24	75:14,16,19,21	County's 69:12	C1 52:2,3
	compared 49:6	considerations	75:24 76:1	couple 46:13,14	
	comparison	79:15	77:16	62:23 64:17	D
	20:23 24:23	considered 77:6	controlled 9:11	70:21	D 3:1
	compensate	77:18	9:13	course 67:24	Dan 61:13
	45:19	considering 44:7	Converse 68:11	80:24 82:18,20	danger 77:13
1	completely	44:11,19 59:3	68:11,15,15,16	court 9:18,24	dangerous 56:24
	50:24 82:6	consistency	68:17,19 69:1	10:18,24 11:2	dangers 78:22
	compliance	23:20	coordinate 25:16	15:3 28:16	data 52:4
	16:20	consistent 35:13	copy 18:9 20:7	32:15 38:19	dated 40:11
	components	37:16	20:12 65:1	42:22 46:11	84:15
	49:14 56:14	consisting 84:8	67:23	67:19	Dave 72:9
	composition	constituent 24:1	Corporation	courts 9:15 13:4	David 72:4
	22:5	54:23	34:23	cover 45:15,16	day 1:18 26:13
	compounds	constituents	correct 7:4	create 51:8	58:9 84:13,15
	46:17 49:11,12	54:2	14:15,16 17:16	created 81:20	days 26:12,13
	78:19	constitute 8:17	17:23 21:19	creating 22:24	deadline 41:23
	concept 47:22	12:7 23:5 51:2	26:4,5 27:4,8	35:3 71:3,6	debate 10:12
	concern 49:10	constituted 7:17	37:11,17 38:1	creation 19:20	13:23 14:1
	50:17,19 51:24	constitutes 4:19	39:7,8 68:9	credibility 4:13	36:10
	60:13,23 61:23	8:6 84:9	71:8,10 72:20	83:10	debating 48:17 decade 45:23
	62:6	constructed	correctly 13:4	credible 83:13	December 41:21
	concerned 43:5	11:14 20:2	costs 74:19	Crites 3:11	41:23
	43:8	construction	COULTER 2:23	28:15,16 29:1	decide 61:3
	conclude 27:23	15:21 64:9	counsel 13:19	40:12 41:12	decided 12:18
	83:13	contacts 43:18	30:17 72:17	cross-examina	30:22 35:7,11
1	concluded 11:16	contention 9:24	74:7 81:15	3:12 37:4	36:4
	83:17 concrete 43:22	context 11:11,11 contiguous 19:5	counted 72:15	42:15 cross-examine	decision 4:10
	56:16 57:6,8	19:17	counting 72:17 country 49:15	37:2 68:3	11:2 14:5
	concurrent	continue 36:7	country 1:16 4:8	80:21 81:3	30:16,18,20
	76:11	52:6 57:12,13	9:21 11:8 12:2	CSR-RPR 1:15	33:3,12 34:12
	condition 6:24	57:24,24 58:1	34:22 45:2	84:6,21	35:5,10,15
	conditions 65:5	58:9 63:4	46:5 47:16	cure 78:15,15	52:15,17 58:7
	65:8,11 66:5	65:17	48:6,12,15	current 7:17	67:3 69:7,9,10
	05.0,11 00.5	05.17	10.0,12,13	Current /.1/	

70:4 76:1	design 73:8,9,10	25:6,15 26:24	down 61:18 63:2	76:21,21,22,24
77:15 81:21	designated 24:6	29:12 31:4	76:23	79:21,23 80:4
deemed 66:20	designed 7:10	36:5 38:19	downwind 79:12	80:6,7
defies 69:15	8:24 60:15	47:17,19 61:17	Dr 46:12,22	effect 8:11 49:12
defined 7:6	desks 73:13	61:22 62:12	49:22 63:15	effects 48:20
definite 52:4	detail 13:16	64:21,24 65:15	68:21,22	effort 55:7 69:24
definition 4:22	detailed 29:24	65:21,22 66:3	drag 57:12	either 47:11
76:7,17	determination	66:8,11 67:3	drawing 7:23	electric 32:12
degree 29:18	6:18,24 7:4	77:5	19:16	elements 24:1
43:9 78:19	determined 9:20	dispose 8:3,9,11	due 41:19,20,21	elevation 25:16
deliberative	10:1,3,21	10:14 21:8	43:24	51:6
36:15	11:10	35:1 38:3	duly 15:6 29:2	ELIAS 2:7
deliver 77:21	develop 16:24	disposed 8:14,20	dump 77:22	emissions 79:12
delivered 77:9	51:15 82:12,12	9:2,4,10 11:24	dumped 22:10	79:13
demonstrating	developed 19:24	20:19,22,24	during 24:4	employees 45:14
51:24	development	24:18 25:3	26:13 39:11	46:1
demonstrative	15:15,23 16:19	31:5 33:8	65:4 66:5	employer 45:11
6:3 24:5	dictionary 63:16	37:24 48:23	73:22 74:5	employs 22:3
denial 4:6 6:15	63:19	64:11 79:1	83:11	Enclosed 65:1
6:16,22 36:21	difference 23:12	disposer 77:6	dust 23:18 32:12	encompasses
51:23 67:8	54:10 63:22	78:3,8	duties 16:17	73:6
75:11,18 81:22	different 39:16	disposes 10:23	29:7	end 4:14,15 55:3
82:24	54:6 61:4	disposing 9:8	D616A 18:20	69:22
denied 6:23	63:17 69:18	10:11 21:2	19:8 20:5 25:8	enforced 63:23
65:19,24 67:5	77:11,17	54:12	26:15	engineering
73:11,19,24	differently 76:10	dispute 37:13		29:19
75:17 77:14	difficult 48:1	38:23	E	enlarge 43:15
78:6	direct 3:9,12	disputed 12:13	E 3:1 25:8	enough 43:14
deny 49:19	15:8 21:4 29:4	distort 63:7	each 19:2 26:12	ensure 69:12
51:20 71:22	directed 66:17	District 7:22	31:8,11,21	entire 29:14 46:5
82:10,15,17	directly 9:2 21:5	9:18	earlier 39:1	72:18
denying 52:16	21:8 24:18	division 30:17	41:17 64:19	entitled 8:4,8,13
dependent 61:20	33:17 59:6	DNA 43:20	early 31:6 32:20	68:2
61:22	director 15:22	document 17:13	32:20 35:12	entity 25:19 27:9
depict 19:16	67:17	17:15 40:8,9	39:13 63:17	47:24 48:3
depicts 19:14	disclosed 82:3	40:10	earth 49:15	64:8 75:24
25:17,24	discuss 5:18 83:6	documentation	easier 80:1	entombing 49:16
deposited 21:5	discussed 41:17	33:18 34:8,9	East 2:3,18	Envirite 10:16
21:17 28:3	59:6,8 66:5	35:4	61:19	11:15,18,19
46:18 48:21	discussion 64:1	documents	edge 77:8	12:1 33:14,19
78:20	disingenuous	25:10 27:16	educational	34:1,6,7,18,22
deprive 66:14	50:24	36:8 38:24	29:17	35:10 36:18
deprives 66:19	disposal 1:3 4:3	39:1 41:3,7	Edwards 3:8	39:11,14,23
describe 13:15	5:8,10,12 7:1	75:15 81:19	12:21 13:2	76:14
15:17 16:1,16	7:13,22,24 8:2	doing 56:11 60:3	15:1,2,5,12	environment
16:21 18:11	8:8,16,23	61:17 75:4	28:10 37:7	43:9,11
19:19 20:18	10:13,18,21	done 31:12,14	38:13 46:14	environmental
21:23 23:15	12:23 15:14	36:24 40:21	60:17 64:2	1:6 2:17 5:16
24:3	16:24 21:4,6	82:19	70:22 71:10,13	6:16 7:8 9:16
described 69:24	22:7 24:22	doubt 12:8 61:17	71:16 76:20,20	10:17 15:24
		1	l.	1

		The second secon		
16:19 29:9	3:12,13 15:8	73:16	71:12 72:13,22	few 37:3 79:23
45:7 52:1,15	29:4 41:1	expected 58:22	73:4,6 75:16	field 26:12
63:1 66:22	examined 15:7	expended 55:7	75:24	fifteenth 79:14
76:5	29:3	expensive 74:9	facility's 7:16	Fifth 2:14
EPA 13:12,16	examples 27:15	74:15	fact 7:17 10:21	fifths 8:19,23
22:4 24:7	27:20	experience 15:17	12:12,13 14:1	38:8 56:15
27:11 29:15	except 41:9	15:19 35:9	14:14 29:23	60:20,22,24
31:6 33:3 35:5	65:24	83:12	35:19 38:19,23	fight 56:22
35:12 49:15	exception 12:4	expert 74:18	38:24 39:4	file 40:9
66:7 69:4	excerpt 25:11	expired 48:14	43:14 48:11	filed 16:22 18:3
78:17 79:2,8	26:18,20	49:17	55:3,9 56:23	41:24 65:13
79:15	exclusion 4:21	expiring 77:5	60:13 62:24	74:5
EPA's 30:20	42:8	explanation	69:16 80:23	filing 14:16 39:6
67:3 70:4	excuse 9:22	63:22	facts 5:22 12:13	filings 27:16,19
79:16	58:13 73:1	expose 52:7	12:15 13:18,20	fill 80:2
equal 76:6	exempt 9:9 40:9	exposed 78:14	13:21 34:15	final 4:10 23:21
equate 54:11	55:5	exposure 48:21	51:14 81:2	67:3
escape 78:16	exemption 7:20	49:5	factually 34:3	find 63:16 83:12
especially 75:14	9:14,19 11:10	express 69:10	fair 59:2 75:13	fine 40:21 46:2
75:14	11:21 13:14	extension 65:20	familiar 29:20	46:22
ESQUIRE 2:8,8	33:9,10 38:10	extent 36:14	30:24 31:23	first 14:23 15:6
2:13,17	39:9 62:4	82:1	32:3 33:14	29:2 46:14
essentially 23:18	exemptions 40:8	externally 22:22	34:2	61:16 74:14
48:17	exhibit 3:18,19	extremely 43:8	familiarizing	five 59:11
ethical 58:6	3:21 14:11,18		30:12	five-story 78:21
European 49:1,2	14:18,21 18:19	F	Families 68:19	flood 57:1
even 37:19 43:18	19:7 20:5 25:8	faced 50:20	69:3 70:11	flow 36:2
45:18 56:16,20	26:15 67:23	facelift 43:16	72:7,10	fly 22:14 23:2
58:4,17 69:17	exhibits 5:24 6:2	facilities 7:5,6	far 56:12	folks 57:18
73:12 78:19	exist 51:16	9:12 18:12	farfetched 57:10	following 1:13
81:24	existed 47:16	19:2,17	57:10	follows 15:7 29:3
Everhart 1:15	existing 16:8	facility 4:20 7:14		footprint 19:12
84:6,21	19:14,20	7:18,19 8:7	February 45:1	19:12
every 54:23	exists 51:9	9:11,20,21,22	64:23 66:23	foregoing 84:8
everybody 42:13	exothermic	10:2,8,9,11	federal 16:7	84:11
42:17 59:12	22:15,16,21	11:16,17,18,24	47:16	forever 58:10
76:13 83:15	54:1,8	12:2,3,22,23	feel 51:23 57:3	form 27:5 78:11
everybody's	expand 30:3,4	14:1,4 15:22	57:22 59:2	forth 29:13
74:11	52:12 77:12	18:23 19:15	62:4 82:5 83:2	forward 13:6
everyone 7:13	expanded 48:8	20:1,9,15	feeling 44:12	52:17 67:13
42:10 44:20	expansion 7:14	21:24 22:1	59:13	four 76:6 77:2
56:7 59:11	7:15,16 8:1	23:8 25:5 26:1	feels 65:7	77:11
69:2	32:8 33:4 44:9	26:2,19 30:3	fees 74:16,18,19	four-pronged
evidence 5:23	45:3,5,9 51:1,4	31:19,24 32:5	75:3	57:11
12:8,9 39:1	51:10,12,20,21	32:22,24 33:7	feet 51:7 57:12	Fox 53:17,17
68:7	51:23 52:2,6	33:8,8,15,17	73:4	fraction 8:6
exact 39:15	53:8,19 55:8	33:20 34:1,6,7	felt 30:22 33:8	fractions 60:20
73:14 74:1	67:4,6 69:9,11	34:21,24 46:18	48:8,9	framed 6:22
exactly 44:6	73:14,17 78:5	51:2 60:19	ferrous 22:14	frequently 26:9
Examination 3:9	expansions	65:21 70:18	23:2	friends 76:22
	1)		₂ 1:	

-			- Annual		
	from 4:21 9:9	21:23	74:12	hair 43:17	40:4 41:12,16
	10:2 11:14	generate 52:12	goes 21:2 56:18	half 72:19	42:5,21 43:2
	13:24 14:3	54:14,14 55:10	going 12:14 19:7	hallways 73:21	43:24 44:5,6
	23:3,13 25:11	63:16,20 69:21	20:4 25:7,15	hand 19:7 20:4	44:24 46:6,9
	26:1,18,19	70:17 71:19	26:14 32:11	25:7 26:14	46:19 49:20,24
	27:1 28:2	77:21	33:24 35:17,21	handing 17:11	50:2,6,14,17
	31:21 32:12,13	generated 4:23	36:6,10 42:13	18:19	52:19,22 53:2
	32:16,21 37:23	9:8 10:12	43:11 44:7,10	handle 29:11	53:5,13,16
	40:10,11 42:8	11:17,23 12:5	44:19 46:15	happening 56:11	55:15,17,20,23
	43:10 44:17	17:1 21:20	49:8 57:24	happens 48:4	56:1,5 58:13
	45:18 46:17	25:5 76:6	58:10 68:6	hardship 48:10	58:16,16,19,24
	47:5 49:1,15	generates 69:14	80:17 82:4,8	harmful 49:12	59:3,8,19 60:4
	54:2,6 55:5	generating 11:19	gone 7:23 61:3	Harvey 11:20,23	60:7,11 61:6,8
	56:12,21 57:18	47:6	75:12	35:1	61:12,14 62:16
	57:19 63:17	generation 26:20	good 4:1 5:6	haul 45:14	63:10 64:13
	66:20 67:8	27:5 29:12	45:11 46:1	having 16:11	67:14,18,21
	69:15 71:1,7,9	generations 43:6	58:6	57:21 82:7	68:4,9,13,16
	71:11,14,18,18	43:11 50:20	government	HAY 2:12	68:18,24 70:5
	73:4 74:2	generator 8:3	45:19	hazardous 15:20	70:9,13,19
	77:10,12 78:12	10:13,22 13:24	Grand 2:3,18	21:8 22:2,5	71:23 72:1,6
	78:18 79:5	14:2 25:4,22	grandchildren	29:10,12 32:18	72:18 74:19,21
1	80:12 81:10,14	26:2,22 27:2,7	50:20 56:4	47:17,19 49:11	75:5,8 76:19
	front 83:3	27:17 37:20	57:2 62:3	49:14,14 50:21	76:23 79:21
	frustration	38:5,20,21	grandfather	51:12,15 52:10	80:1,5,8,14,24
	58:20	39:5,6 41:5	47:22	60:23 63:19	81:7,11,12
	full 8:19 15:10	46:23 47:1	grandfathered	69:21 70:24	82:4,6,14 83:1
	30:7 58:11	53:9,21 54:9	47:20 49:17	76:4 77:19	83:9,11 84:12
	77:7	54:16,21 55:3	grandfathering	health 9:1 22:7	hearings 12:17
	fully 52:14	55:6 57:5,7,9	48:7	24:21 25:2	Heart 50:9,10,14
	funds 45:18	60:14,18 76:16	grandmother	44:7,15 48:20	52:14 72:11
	furnace 32:12	77:18 78:1,3,8	43:4	65:9 66:22	heartened 62:21
	further 12:11	78:23	grant 82:4,8	hear 56:3 63:8	62:23 63:3
	13:17 28:4,11	gentlemen 58:24	granting 66:13	heard 44:9,13	heat 22:16 23:1
×.	41:13 45:10	61:8 Gerard 63:13,14	grave 50:17,18	47:8 59:22 63:21 80:12	heated 22:23
	80:11 83:5 future 50:20	gets 39:1 69:15	great 44:22 greater 22:18	hearing 1:13,17	heavy 48:20,24 height 51:7
	57:21 60:1	getting 60:20	great-grandfa	2:2 3:3,21 4:1	held 1:14 12:17
	F-o-x 53:17	63:5	48:18	4:2,11,14,15	70:19
	F-0-X 33.17	give 4:16 34:11	green 46:3	4:24 5:6,13,17	help 56:10
	G	50:11 58:7,8,9	GREETING 3:3	5:21 6:6,8,10	her 43:15,17,18
	G 1:15 84:6,21	59:11 67:19	ground 51:8	6:12 7:7 12:19	80:1
	gain 78:2	79:22	grounds 4:22	13:6,7,10 14:7	high 49:6 78:21
	Gale 1:15 84:6	given 27:19 36:3	group 49:21	14:8,10,13,16	highest 49:4
	84:21	78:23	50:10	14:20,22,24	79:13,14
	game 75:13	giving 46:10	growing 77:14	15:2 17:9,10	highlights 71:17
	games 54:17	glaring 79:8	guess 57:17	18:15,17 28:4	highly 77:6
	garbage 54:7	go 42:22 46:3	G-e-r-a-r-d	28:6,9,13,16	hill 77:14 78:21
	Gary 46:12	52:2 53:5 55:7	63:14	28:19,22 33:24	him 34:17 35:21
	gaseous 79:12	56:13 58:9		34:16 35:21	40:19 68:23
	general 5:15	59:10 60:10	Н	36:6,22 37:1	70:24 71:6

	Ī		1	
75:1	5:15 6:4,11,16	indicator 11:1	74:23	58:14,17 59:9
history 82:21	7:8 9:16 10:7	individual 31:9	issued 10:5	74:23 75:5
hold 13:4 55:17	10:17,18 11:9	31:10	31:10 74:2,3	keeps 78:11
holding 39:5	11:20 13:12,16	industrial 22:3	issues 6:21 29:11	key 10:9
50:14	16:9 18:14	industries 77:10	35:11 44:10,18	Kim 68:11,15,16
holes 57:7	27:11 29:8,15	industry 15:18	49:10 59:4,7	kind 44:22 63:18
homogeneously	30:20 31:6	16:14 46:24	66:22 75:1,2	73:21 77:2
24:2	33:3 35:1,5,12	inextricably	82:7	kinds 12:10
homogenous	45:4,6,18	8:23	I-55 11:9	know 4:8 18:21
23:22,24	49:18 50:9,11	inform 13:18		25:9 26:16
hope 45:10 46:3	50:13,15,16	information	J	32:10 36:4,21
52:16 63:3	52:14 55:12	33:22 34:12	J 2:8 26:15	42:18 43:10
71:22 79:16	63:1 66:7 67:2	80:23 81:10,13	Janaki 2:8 5:9	44:21 48:19,21
hopefully 43:6	69:4,6 70:4	81:14,17	13:1	49:3,5 57:4,6
hoping 58:1 59:8	72:11 74:6	informed 34:12	January 65:1	59:1,3,12,15
horizontal 30:6	75:18 77:15	initial 25:24	70:20 73:19	79:20 82:16
horseshoe 72:17	84:1,7,13,22	initially 22:18	Jay 52:24	knowing 45:24
hour 72:19	immediately	input 54:24	Jean 62:20	82:6
houses 32:13	79:9,11	insight 72:13	job 16:17 29:7,7	knowledge
73:3	imply 55:2	inspection 26:11	41:7 43:16	17:24 33:16
hugely 51:5	import 16:7	instances 10:4	61:18	83:3
humans 48:22	important 59:2	instead 8:10	joined 5:11	known 8:15
hundred 9:3	82:21	45:10	joint 3:18 14:14	45:22 49:11
husband 43:7	importing 49:13	instructed 4:17	14:21	51:24
hydroxides 24:9	imposing 66:20	instruction 11:4	Journal 70:2	knows 7:8,13
hyphen 55:22	imposition 65:8	intends 10:14	Joyce 50:4	55:10 64:9
	impossible 58:6	intent 11:2	judgment 83:12	74:22
I	impressions	interest 66:16	July 40:11	Koty 50:23
idea 69:14	34:11	introduced	June 75:20	56:12
ideally 47:19	improved 22:19	13:21	jurisdiction 69:8	K-i-m 68:11
identical 33:11	inappropriate	introductory	76:11,12	K063 32:18
65:23 73:6	36:18	81:16	just 8:1 37:3	
identification	incinerated 9:10	inventory 79:14	39:18 40:5,7	L
14:12	include 66:4	involved 11:7	42:18 46:10	L 15:12
Identified 3:18	74:17	33:17 57:15,17	47:8 53:5,12	label 54:20
identities 43:14	included 17:18	58:4,5 62:22	54:5,21 55:11	laboratories
IEPA 4:4 6:24	18:22 27:5,21	involves 53:24	56:23 57:22	43:22 70:19
10:3,5 11:6,10	41:9	involving 63:18	59:15 61:2,3	73:21
11:14,15,16,22	includes 26:11	ions 24:9,15	61:18 68:6,21	Laboratory 43:8
16:11,13 18:3	including 48:24	irrelevant 75:4	69:22 70:14,18	lack 51:24 67:8
22:4 25:21	76:7	issuance 7:1,12	70:21 71:16	Ladies 58:24
26:7,9 27:14	inclusive 84:9	14:5	72:21 73:13	61:8
54:20,22 55:13	incoming 8:10	issue 4:6,17 11:6	74:12 83:2	Lake 9:20
63:8 64:20	9:2 22:9	13:11,12,22	J-a-y 52:24	land 24:22 25:3
65:1 66:18	increase 23:14	30:21 31:7	J-o-y-c-e 50:5	25:15 29:9
67:1,5 78:6	51:5	33:11 34:13	K	82:18,19
IEPA's 69:7	indicated 13:19	35:11,24 42:6	Karen 64:16	landfill 4:8,19
Illinois 1:1,6,17	36:15 41:4	44:8 46:23	The second secon	8:4,20 11:8,12
1:18 2:2,3,10	72:22 80:24	50:17,18 59:24	Kathy 60:8	12:1 16:5,18
2:14,17,19	81:16	62:23 69:18	keep 44:20 58:10	19:1,12,20,22
		-	1	

1					
	20:19 21:9,18	lead 49:4 62:12	limiting 24:9	look 10:19 11:3	makes 49:11
	24:19 25:18	78:19	line 36:7 60:12	13:6 59:16	56:21 80:1
	28:3 32:9,11	leak 62:8	liners 52:3,8	63:19	making 12:11
	34:21 35:2,2	leaking 43:21	56:15,16	looked 63:16	42:13 83:2
e:	38:4,16 42:7	least 10:4 30:13	lining 62:7	looking 11:9	management
	44:8 47:15	44:20 53:9	linked 50:22	40:8	15:20 16:4
	48:23 49:13,16	59:11 82:12	liquor 32:14,17	loose 77:2	22:2 26:20
	49:19 51:6,11	leave 68:21	54:12,14	losses 52:4	manager 15:21
	52:1,10 53:8	leaves 59:12	listed 25:22 26:2	lot 44:18 45:22	15:22
	53:19 56:13	leeway 44:11,20	26:22 27:6	56:19 57:8	manner 4:11
	65:4,17,21	left 78:14	listen 68:5	60:9 62:22	29:24
	67:4,7,7 70:17	legal 12:7 30:17	listing 54:23	70:15 75:2	Manning 2:13
	77:7,13 78:5	34:5 36:11,13	little 46:24 57:1	82:19	3:5,12 5:6,7,20
	78:17 79:3,7,9	45:12 81:21	57:20 58:10	louder 55:4	6:8 14:8,20
	79:19	83:11	72:13,23	L143812003	28:12 33:24
	landfilled 24:24	legally 25:3	live 53:18 56:12	40:9	34:14 35:17
	49:1	legislative 11:2	61:19 72:12		36:6 37:3,5
	landfilling 71:21	legislature 36:4	living 61:22	M	40:2,5,7,20
	landfills 15:16	legitimate 79:20	Livingston 11:8	M 2:17 19:7	42:4 43:24
	landfill's 69:11	let 42:18,21	12:1,2 34:22	Madam 5:6,21	58:13 59:17
	79:12	lets 78:15	LLP 2:12	6:8 13:10 14:8	68:1,5 72:21
	language 11:1,3	letter 6:17,22	load-bearing	14:20,24 17:9	73:1 74:21
	11:10,21 39:10	11:14 36:21	22:20	18:15 28:4	80:16,19 82:10
	39:15,22 54:18	64:18,20 67:2	loaves 43:22	33:24 36:6	82:18 83:7
*	54:22	81:22 82:24	local 4:20 7:2	43:24 58:13	manufacturer
	large 51:6 52:4	let's 58:10 61:4	9:23 30:14	74:21	71:19
	last 44:6 45:23	75:5	36:3 42:8	made 6:24 30:16	manufacturing
	57:23 59:8	level 49:4 50:22	51:18 52:12	33:12 58:5 64:3 80:20	78:24
	62:23 69:16	51:8	53:22 55:5	magic 38:14	many 48:23
	70:1 76:3	License 84:22	66:14 67:9	mailbox 41:22	March 64:19
	last-ditch 55:11	licensing 58:8	69:11 74:3,10	main 1:18 2:9	Mark 3:11 28:15
	late 10:9	life 62:2,9	74:11,16 75:12	31:18,18 79:10	29:1 40:11,12
	lateral 51:11	light 78:12	75:13,16 76:10	79:11	40:18 41:3
	73:16	like 5:4,19 6:6	locate 25:14	maintain 25:19	81:20
	law 2:9,13,18 7:4 7:7,7 8:5 10:22	10:12 11:2,3 13:8 14:21	locater 25:12,13 25:17,20,23,24	major 50:23	marked 14:11 17:11 18:19
	12:16,20 13:3	37:2 41:16	26:3 41:6	majority 51:20	20:5 25:8
	13:4 34:4	42:2,11 44:6	54:19	make 4:9 5:5,19	26:14
	47:23 49:1	44:12 50:11,18	location 18:11	6:7 13:8 30:18	mass 22:18 23:4
	63:7 69:7	59:11,13 60:5	18:13 45:22	33:3 35:5 42:2	23:6,12 24:1
	lawful 24:22	67:10,12,23	56:23 61:18	42:12,14,17	massive 78:21
	laws 35:24 60:15	69:20 71:24	62:13	43:2 44:5	material 8:11,13
	63:6,22	72:15,20 76:23	log 25:12,13,17	56:19,20 58:6	22:18,20 24:11
	lawsuits 45:11	80:3,9,15 81:7	25:24	58:20 59:20	37:10
	lawyer 11:15	81:12 83:5	logs 25:20,23	62:20 63:21	materials 11:23
	74:22	lime 32:16	26:3 41:6	64:10,11,18	14:3 20:20
	lawyers 74:13	limit 4:17 24:16	54:19	76:9 81:2,4,7	22:9,11 23:3,8
	leachability	limitation 54:22	long 38:16 47:13	83:9	24:12 32:10
	24:10,16	limitations 54:18	59:10,17	maker 76:1	45:22 78:12
	leachate 52:4	limited 83:3	longer 8:8 78:4	makers 11:2	math 38:12
	and the second part of the second of	The second secon			Property Color Col

	1		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
matter 1:14 6:13	23:9 26:3 41:3	76:12 79:4	near 11:8,12	noticed 4:24
7:4 10:1 35:19	met 38:16	morning 4:1 5:6	nearly 77:7	November 1:19
39:11 48:11	metal 24:9,10,14	most 31:16	necessary 11:12	4:4 41:19,20
62:6,8 74:6	24:15,16	49:14 54:13	30:23 36:4	65:13 84:13,15
76:2	metals 48:20,24	65:9 70:16	need 11:3 13:21	number 14:11
matters 5:18	methods 22:3	78:16	46:9 52:22	14:18,19,21
may 6:10 14:23	MGP 21:11	mostly 78:11	58:17 74:10,22	18:6 40:9,9
15:2 17:9	Michelle 2:17	motion 81:6 82:5	76:10 78:4	65:5 67:6 77:3
18:15 23:11	5:14	82:8,11,15,16	82:16	77:10
28:13 40:18	might 45:18 48:2	motions 82:7	needs 44:2	
43:2 44:13	56:19,19 57:1	mountain 51:8,9	negative 24:14	0
47:2,2 51:18	57:2,3,8 74:21	move 62:13 81:8	neutral 4:11	oath 15:7 29:3
58:20 59:7,14	miles 11:19 62:9	moved 22:12	never 33:16	object 34:1
59:14,20 75:7	62:9 72:12	MPG 78:24	36:14 43:19	35:17
76:11 80:2	mill 54:12	much 5:17 22:18	Nevertheless	objection 36:7
82:15	million 56:20	30:5 40:21	47:20	58:20 59:20
maybe 44:15	millions 50:21	48:19 52:18	new 4:19 7:5,5	objects 14:17
Ma'am 42:11	57:19	55:4 60:3 62:6	7:17 9:14	obviously 37:6
50:2 53:14	mills 54:13	62:8 71:21	34:21 35:2	70:14 75:2
55:18 60:5	mind 36:20 54:6	78:9	43:16 45:16	occasions 72:23
62:18 63:11	mine 56:24	multiple 49:23	46:18 47:16	occurred 39:14
64:14	minor 13:15	69:15	48:11 51:2,5,8	occurring 22:16
mean 37:23	minute 44:21	murky 59:5	51:11,12,15	24:7
38:14	minutes 59:11	must 41:24 47:7	52:6 77:12,14	occurs 22:17
meaning 22:16	missed 80:2	48:8	newest 48:2	off 59:10 73:12
63:24	mister 68:23	myself 30:12	next 61:10	offer 44:11,20
mechanical	mix 56:15	56:3 57:6,9	nice 58:4	56:6
29:19	mixed 8:17,24	62:4	Nightingale	officer 1:17 3:3
medicine 47:23	24:2 43:23	M-e-i-n-r-i-c-h	40:12	3:21 4:1,2 5:6
meet 9:1 24:21	mixer 22:19	53:1	nobody 14:17	5:13,17,21 6:6
meeting 48:1	mixing 22:12,13		63:21	6:8,10,12 7:8
64:23 69:17	78:10	N	nonhardening	13:7,10 14:7,8
70:19 73:20	modification	N 3:1	78:11	14:10,13,16,20
Meginnes 2:7,8	7:11 30:2 51:3	Nair 2:8 3:9 5:9	nonhazardous	14:22,24 15:2
5:11,23 12:14	51:14 52:16	5:9 13:1 14:24	21:15 64:6,7	17:9,10 18:15
69:17	64:21,24 65:16	15:9 17:9	normally 41:9	18:17 28:5,6,9
Meinrich 52:24	65:23 66:1,4,9	18:15,18 28:4	North 2:3,18	28:13,16,19,22
52:24 53:4,7	66:13 67:4,6	name 4:1 5:7,9	Northern 43:7	33:24 34:16
member 16:3	69:5 73:5,23	5:14 15:10	Northwestern	35:21 36:6,22
50:10 69:3	modify 4:7 17:18	28:19 29:6	10:7,10,12	37:1 40:4
70:11 76:24	moment 13:1	42:9,22 43:18	31:23 32:22	41:12,16 42:5
members 2:24	money 43:15	46:11 50:3,4	39:23	42:21 43:2,24
4:15 6:10	45:13 47:4	52:23 53:16	nose 43:16	44:5,24 46:6,9
16:14 50:15	56:19 57:15,17	55:20 60:7	notary 1:15 84:6	46:19 49:20,24
memo 40:11	57:17,18 58:3	61:12 62:20	notes 81:15	50:2,6 52:19
memorandum	58:5 62:13	63:13 72:4,9	84:11	52:22 53:2,5
81:17	more 15:19	named 78:3	nothing 28:4	53:13,16 55:15
memos 36:16	45:16 48:19,22	nation 49:4 78:9	45:2,5 59:4	55:17,20,23
mention 67:1	56:21 57:1	79:15	60:19 61:1	56:1,5 58:13
mentioned 23:2	58:21 64:3	National 16:3	62:11	58:19,24 59:19
	1		I .	1

		and the second s		
60:4,7,11 61:6	32:8	47:5 48:20	40:13 81:16	54:23 55:2,10
61:8,12,14	on-site 54:12	49:12 50:21	part 6:2 17:18	56:21 57:5
62:16 63:10	open 51:11,13	51:10 52:7	19:24 20:8	60:13 63:2
64:13 67:14,18	opening 3:4 5:19	54:19 56:14	22:13 25:12	65:6 67:2,6
67:21 68:4,9	6:7 13:8 73:8	59:7 61:19	26:3 34:7 36:9	69:14,24 70:16
68:13,16,18,24	operate 47:21	69:19 71:1,4	40:8 50:17	70:19,22 71:11
70:5,9,13	48:3,10 57:12	71:19 72:16	51:10,12 52:5	73:6,21,23
71:23 72:6	57:14 58:8	74:19 79:2	53:9 61:20	74:1,7,9,15
74:21 75:5,8	operated 9:11,13	ought 81:11	63:2 75:11	75:22 76:9
76:19,23 79:21	operating 10:8	ourselves 61:4	79:22	77:10,11,14,17
80:1,5,8,14,24	20:1,3 25:12	out 38:14 40:14	partially 52:3	77:23 78:1,2,6
81:12 82:4,14	26:4,6,10	48:16 54:4	participate	78:7,23 79:3
83:1,9 84:12	48:14	56:9,16,18	16:10 59:13	79:12,17
official 79:15	operation 9:7	58:2,12 63:5	participation	PDC's 4:18
Oh 37:1	22:2 36:5,5	63:15 76:15	83:15	21:21 24:17
okay 5:19 14:10	52:9 65:17	outlines 65:2	particular 32:20	31:24 33:15
14:13,23 28:9	78:5	outside 54:2	33:6 74:23	42:7 53:19
34:16 37:1	operational 77:5	71:9 74:16	parties 5:4 42:16	55:4 65:12
42:5 43:2	operations 7:17	79:16	71:24 72:17	67:5 69:5
44:24 49:24	11:23 15:16,22	over 47:15 50:15	80:15	74:18 77:22
53:5 58:11	15:23 16:19	50:22 52:2,9	parts 34:10	78:9 79:9
60:11 70:13	operator 12:22	53:14 60:4,13	pass 70:3	pendency 74:6
80:7,12	opinion 40:15,16	62:1,17,17,18	passed 16:12	pending 66:12
okaying 60:2	50:23 51:17	62:23 63:11	64:22	73:10
old 45:15	54:9	69:8 73:20	past 16:4 60:1	people 43:13
omission 79:8	opportunity	79:19	pause 40:6 46:8	45:8 50:18,19
once 23:6,19	10:19 18:2	overall 79:8	50:1 52:21	54:13 56:10
26:12 27:13	44:2,13 50:16	own 8:3 9:8 10:2	53:15 55:19	57:16 58:4,5
one 6:23 9:3	oppose 65:2	10:11 12:5	60:6 61:11	59:1,2,9,10
12:21 13:11,15	opposed 49:13	38:3 47:12,13	62:19 63:12	60:2 62:5,14
16:5 21:2 32:4	53:18 66:11	76:7	64:15 68:10	62:22 72:12,16
32:17 38:7	71:21	owned 9:11,13	70:6 72:3	73:20 77:3
40:8 42:9	opposes 66:18	11:16 34:22	pay 57:21	people's 71:20
46:15 47:23	opposition 64:23	47:4	PCB 1:5 4:3	peoria 1:3,16,18
56:24 62:8,17	order 81:12	owns 47:11,12	21:13	2:10 4:3,8 5:7
72:12,14 74:3	orderly 4:11	Ozuna 55:21	PCBs 48:24	5:10,12 7:1,13
75:23 76:12	organic 43:7	OZUNA-THO	PCB's 79:4	7:22,24 8:2,8
79:4 80:4	organization	55:21,24 56:3	PDC 4:23 5:19	8:16,23 10:13
82:22	77:3	56:6 59:23	13:11,14,23	10:17,21 12:23
only 5:20 7:5	original 11:7	O-z-u-n-a 55:21	14:2,23 18:23	15:13 18:14
8:10 9:4 11:3	48:6 84:10		21:7 26:2 27:6	25:6 26:24
11:17 12:21	originally 7:13	P	27:17 28:11	38:19 45:2
20:20,22 21:17	11:13,15 31:17	page 3:2,4 17:12	33:19 41:4	47:16 48:6,12
21:20 34:4	33:7 47:12	17:12,20 20:6	42:2 43:22	48:15 49:3,5,9
39:3 45:21,21	other 6:1 8:19,23	60:8 70:23	45:3,6,9,11,21	49:16,22 50:14
46:4 54:1	11:4 19:2 21:3	pages 18:6,8	46:4 47:4	50:18,19 51:19
59:24 63:4	22:11 23:15	19:9 26:16	48:18 50:22,24	51:22 53:18
66:1 73:3	27:2,15 29:11	84:9	51:3,10,13,21	55:8,12 60:16
80:19	34:15 35:11	paper 77:24	51:23 52:5,11	61:17,19,22
onsite 17:1 28:2	38:10 46:17	paragraph	53:21,23 54:16	62:1,5,10,11

		1	ı .	7
62:15 64:17,18	47:21 48:11,14	place 56:11,23	poorly 49:16	principles 56:7
64:20,22,24	49:17 51:3,14	57:8	portion 20:8	prior 14:5 22:7
65:6,7,10,15	52:16 64:21,24	placed 19:13	26:21 40:13	58:16 81:7
65:17,18,19,20	65:16,23 66:4	22:18 25:18	59:14	priority 7:12
65:21,22,24	66:9,9,12 67:2	31:17 32:11	portions 19:20	privilege 81:19
66:3,6,8,11,11	67:6 69:5 73:5	placement 25:15	position 15:13	privileged 82:2
66:14,16,19,20	74:1,2 76:10	26:1	29:13 65:2	probably 45:4
66:21 67:3,9	77:5,12,14	plain 57:1	67:14 82:22,24	67:23
67:11 68:19	78:5,17 79:2	plaintiff's 9:24	82:24	problem 38:9
69:3,8 70:2,11	79:18 81:15,22	plan 12:7 19:11	positive 24:14	45:21 64:3
72:7,10 73:7	82:24	34:23 51:15	possible 23:24	problems 52:1
73:11,19 75:17	permits 31:10	70:2 74:8,9,15	post 57:7 72:1	procedural 5:3
77:5,13 78:4	permitted 10:9	plans 51:21	potential 46:16	42:1
79:10,11 84:2	19:23 20:2,10	plant 17:2 18:24	potentially	procedure 31:16
84:7,12	22:1	20:21 21:3	56:20	proceed 13:2
Peoria's 77:7	permitting 11:8	22:12 23:19	power 61:24	30:19 34:17
79:10	13:17	28:2 45:12,17	pozzolanic 24:11	83:9
percent 8:9,18	permit's 8:1	plastic 62:7	24:12	proceedings
9:3 23:6,14	person 46:23	plausible 52:10	pray 45:6	4:12 40:6 46:8
37:9,22 38:15	47:24 56:19	play 54:17 61:4	precedent 7:1	50:1 52:21
54:1 60:21	62:18 63:11	please 5:5 6:10	precious 62:14	53:15 55:19
66:2 77:9	personalities	13:10 15:10	preempted 9:23	60:6 61:11
percentage 23:4	56:7,8	28:20 40:5	prefer 80:10	62:19 63:12
49:4	personality 56:9	46:11 50:3	preliminary	64:15 66:5
perhaps 45:23	person's 12:5	52:23 53:16	5:18,20	68:10 70:6
48:2 73:2	76:7	55:17,20 58:24	preparation	72:3 83:14,17
period 48:3	pertain 32:6	60:7 61:9,10	17:3	84:11
permanent 64:8	33:23 34:19	61:12,15 75:1	prepare 27:9	process 8:2,12
permanently	pertains 31:2,3	75:8	prepared 27:13	8:24 9:4 10:19
64:11	Petitioner 1:4	pleased 63:8	60:9	13:17 20:20
permission	2:11,15 3:7	ploy 57:10,11	present 2:1,22	22:3,8,14 24:4
18:16 48:1	Petitioner's 4:7	point 32:17,19	2:24 12:7,8,21	24:6 31:7,19
67:8	41:19,21	56:18 79:20	14:9 24:13	31:20 36:15
permit 1:5 4:7	pH 24:8	83:1	41:14 46:19	54:1 55:1
6:1,2,17,20,21	photo 19:3	poison 43:21	57:21	60:19 61:1
6:22,23 7:1,10	photograph	pollution 1:1 2:2	presented 51:21	64:2 65:5 77:2
7:11,23,24 8:8	18:22	4:2,9,19 6:4,11	51:22 80:23	processed 23:19
8:14,21 10:5,6	Phyllis 42:10,24	6:12 7:5,6,18	presently 5:11	25:1
10:14,20 11:6	physical 23:15	7:19 9:21,22	president 15:15	processes 81:23
12:17,19,24	64:4	12:3 16:11,14	15:23,24	produce 47:7
13:13 14:6	physically 22:5	45:4 49:18	pretty 30:5 33:9	63:17,21 77:21
16:22 17:18	64:3	50:13 51:2	prevent 44:17	produced 33:1,6
19:24 20:8,8	physicians 49:9	55:12 69:6	45:3,5	47:12
20:13 29:10,10	49:22	70:3 73:10	prevents 66:19	producer 10:23
29:20 30:2,16	Ph.D 43:7	74:6,14 75:13	previous 73:23	46:24
31:18,21 32:4	pickle 32:14,17	75:16,18,21,24	previously 10:3	producers 77:24
33:23 34:20	54:12,14 D: 1-44: (1-12-12	76:1 77:15	20:4 25:7	producing 22:16
35:9 36:11,21	Pioletti 61:13,13	polychlorinated	65:19	product 8:22
41:8,10 44:3	61:16	79:4	price 57:21	23:21 37:24
44:10 45:9	pitched 51:7	Pontiac 11:9,13	principle 56:9	47:1,3,7,9,11
		*		•

					
	64:11 71:18	16:21,23 21:23	ran 58:12	40:12	related 59:6
	81:18	purposes 6:3,19	rather 67:22	record 4:12,14	69:11 74:19
	production	14:2 37:20	68:22 69:17	5:5,18,24 6:1,4	relating 33:19
	32:13	38:18,20,22	77:19,23 78:3	13:19 15:11	relation 76:21
	products 43:13	39:21 40:7	78:8	17:12 18:3	release 79:13
	professional	pursuant 4:24	RCRA 1:5 10:5	25:12 26:4,6	relevance 59:21
	16:1 74:13	5:1 8:14	10:9 17:18	26:10,12 28:20	relevant 7:15
	professor 78:18	put 5:24 31:18	19:24 20:8	36:8,17,17	10:23 11:10
	prohibited 48:24	36:17 38:15,24	38:18,20,22	40:7 46:20	12:4,9 42:6
	promise 57:13	39:17 43:17	reaction 22:15	47:14 58:17	44:3 58:14,18
	promised 58:1	45:13 56:16	22:17,21 47:9	59:16,18 67:11	58:21 74:23,24
	proposed 4:18	59:17 62:7	read 67:21,22	68:21,22 72:20	75:10 81:24
	7:15 18:24	64:2 73:12	readily 12:18	81:9,10,12	82:1
	20:18 42:7	79:3	ready 5:22	82:23	remain 69:11
	65:5,20 66:1	putting 60:22	reagent 8:22	recorded 84:11	82:1
	73:7,17	P-h-y-l-l-i-s	reagents 8:13,16	recycling 67:16	remand 6:18
	proposing 46:18	42:24	8:24 22:13,22	redacted 36:9	remarks 62:21
	77:18	P-i-o-l-e-t-t-i	22:24 37:11	40:10,14 81:9	remember 69:22
	protect 60:15	61:16	38:2,3	81:10,13,14,17	remind 71:24
	62:24 66:16	P-r-y-d-e 43:1	realize 70:16	81:18 82:23	removed 32:21
	protected 69:13	P.C 2:7	realizing 77:13	redacting 36:16	render 22:6
	Protection 1:6	P.O 2:19	really 12:6,12	Redirect 3:13	renders 22:17
	2:17 5:16 6:16		13:21 33:5	41:1	replied 71:2
	7:9 9:16 10:17	Q	39:21 44:2,10	refer 30:17 54:9	reply 41:21
	29:9 45:7	qualifies 4:21	59:4 63:4	referenced 31:24	report 26:18,21
	52:15 63:1	42:7	76:13 79:19	33:10,15	27:4,10
	76:5	qualify 7:20 73:9	reason 13:14	referring 34:9	reporter 15:3
	protective 65:9	quality 43:5	36:7 47:24	41:5	28:17 32:15
	provide 66:15	question 12:15	56:22 57:11	regarding 44:9	42:22 46:11
	provides 9:6	12:16,18 18:12	75:9	47:17 51:14	67:19 84:4
	Pryde 42:9,10,19	33:5 37:21	reasonable	64:20 67:2	reports 26:23
	42:20,24,24	79:17	54:15	76:4	27:2,6,12 41:6
	43:4 44:23	questioned 34:6	recall 32:7	regardless 57:13	54:19
	45:1	questioning 13:2	receive 7:2 37:23	57:14	represent 63:9
	public 1:16 2:24	questions 28:8	received 23:3	regards 49:3	representations
	3:14 4:15,16	30:13 34:4	47:21	regulated 32:18	17:22
	4:16 41:23,24	36:13 37:3	receiver 77:6	regulation 25:14	representatives
	42:6,14,16,17	40:21 46:14,16	receives 78:9	32:21	16:13
-	44:1,7,15	46:20 47:14	receiving 22:10	regulations	representing
	46:10 48:13,14	70:21	30:11 47:4	16:12 24:7	49:21 50:6
	58:16 59:18,19	quickly 67:22 quote 69:18,20	recently 79:3	38:17 47:16	53:2 56:1,3
	59:21 64:18,20	69:23 70:1	recite 27:16	48:11 79:9	68:18,19 70:9
	66:7 68:2,6,8	74:8 76:6	reclassification	82:20	72:7,10 77:1
	70:19 72:1	e . The state of t	49:19	regulators 48:12	repute 82:19
	80:23 82:23	quoting 69:19	reclassify 53:21	regulatory 9:1	request 17:18
	84:6	R	recognize 17:13	27:15 55:1	30:2 51:4,14
	purchased 8:16	raise 82:15	19:9 20:6	reinvent 60:13	51:20 64:21
	8:22 23:10	Raithel 64:16,16	recognized	61:4	65:3 67:4,5
	purity 61:23	67:16,20	47:18	reiterate 68:7	69:5 71:22
	purpose 4:10 9:7		recommends	rejected 9:24	73:5 81:21

ī					
	requested 7:11	response 36:23	room 1:18 45:1	seat 15:3	show 51:4,15
	10:14 30:12	80:13 81:20	69:19 70:16	second 9:18 11:5	showed 52:4
	require 7:12	responsibility	roughly 66:1,2	40:5	showing 52:4
	31:21 35:8	59:24 60:2	Route 11:12	section 7:2,4 9:5	shown 43:22
	required 10:10	responsible	ROYAL 2:23	9:6 29:10	shows 18:23,23
	11:20 13:3,13	16:18	rule 41:22	30:16,24 35:10	side 61:19 72:16
	14:5 17:17	rest 40:13 49:6	ruled 10:24	39:10 51:6	Sierra 50:9,11
	25:14 26:8	restricted 24:20	rules 5:1,3 16:8	76:5,7,8,9	50:15 52:14
	27:3 30:15	resultant 22:6	16:9 39:13	sections 5:2	72:11 76:24
	46:20	retained 3:21	42:1 61:5	see 46:24 48:3	signator 12:23
	requirements	retirement 45:14	ruling 69:4 83:2	57:5,11 69:20	signature 17:19
	31:16	returned 45:20	run 45:11 74:14	75:22	silicates 24:13
	requires 25:19	reversal 6:15	rural 53:18	seeking 47:24	24:13
	27:9 31:3	reverse 6:18	ruse 50:24 63:2	77:12	silliness 58:10
	Rescue 77:1	review 6:19	Ryan 2:17 3:6	seeks 6:15 7:24	silt 45:14,16
	Research 43:8	10:24 13:4	3:12,13 5:14	8:3 10:6	similar 11:6,11
	reserve 42:3,4	18:2,5 26:7,8,9	5:14 13:8,10	seem 52:11	39:19,20 56:20
	reservoir 57:20	26:12 29:23	14:15 28:7,8	seems 35:13	simple 46:22
	residential 8:4	30:7,18 33:18	28:13,15 29:5	60:14	simply 10:11
	12:1 72:22	34:10 39:16	34:7 36:22,24	seen 64:9	45:6 54:24
	residents 65:10	41:7 65:4	40:4,18 41:2	SEGHETTI 2:7	68:8
	residual 4:19 8:6	reviewed 9:19	41:11,13,15	sell 47:1	since 10:9 11:16
	8:15,19,20 9:5	31:9 34:9	80:18 81:14	semantic 63:23	27:4 29:13
	18:24 19:11	reviewer 29:11	83:8	semantics 61:24	single 13:11
	20:19 21:8,18	41:8	R-a-i-t-h-e-l	63:15	54:23
	37:10 38:9	reviewer's 81:15	64:16	semiautomatic	Sir 61:10
	42:7 61:1 67:7	reviewing 34:10	E 244	31:20	sit 44:14 48:17
	73:1,2	reviews 39:3	SS	send 64:18 67:1	68:5 76:23
	residue 8:15,18	revision 39:12	safety 44:7,15	sense 30:4 49:11	site 9:11 19:11
	21:17,20 22:6	39:14	65:9	53:23 69:16	20:24 21:21
	23:13,17,22	RIFFLE 2:7	same 10:3,6	senses 30:5	45:9 46:15
4	25:5,23 27:17	right 17:17	11:21 12:4	sent 64:20	47:18,20 48:7
	residues 17:1	21:22 37:1	39:10,15,22	separate 23:24	48:21 73:6
	24:23 25:1	39:17 40:2	47:9 51:21	31:8,21 53:11	77:22
	26:23 27:7	56:5 57:3 58:8	63:18,20,24	59:5 64:1	sited 49:16
	28:1	68:1,4 69:12	73:14,17 74:1	72:23	sites 45:15,16
	resolution 64:22	71:16 75:5,6	74:3	separated 38:14	46:17 49:15
	65:2,14,15	76:13,15,20	San 50:23 56:12	38:15 47:10	siting 4:20 7:2
	resolved 66:10	80:14	sands 50:22	seriously 62:2	7:12,14,16,20
	resource 67:16	risk 9:1 22:7	savanna 45:17	served 15:21	8:5 9:9,23
	respect 30:21	24:21 25:2	45:20	16:4,6	10:10 11:7,11
	33:4 35:6 44:1	river 56:12,24	saying 44:17	service 69:21	11:14,20 13:12
	respectfully	61:19 77:1	47:6 67:11	several 36:8	13:13,16 14:3
	66:21 69:6	Roach 62:20,20	says 7:9 47:23	share 40:16,18	14:5 30:14,21
	75:10,22	road 18:14 64:9	53:23 75:22	40:19	30:22 33:4,5
	respects 56:6	role 62:24	77:23 78:23	shelf 73:12,12	34:12 35:6,8
	Respondent 1:7	roll 66:23	scariest 43:12	short 7:19 62:21	35:10,11,14
	2:20 3:10	Ron 3:8 12:21	schedule 41:17	76:3,8	36:4,11 37:20
	Respondent's	15:1,5,12	science 29:18	shorthand 55:1	38:10 39:12
	41:20	70:22 76:21	43:9	shortly 5:22	42:8 51:18

		1			1
	52:12 53:22	sources 77:20	63:8 83:14	stipulation 5:22	46:17 49:15
	55:6 58:16	South 2:14	standards 9:1	13:20	supervise 17:3
	59:24 65:12,18	Southport 18:13	21:5 22:7	stipulations 14:9	supply 79:10,11
	65:20 66:14	so-called 78:9	24:21 25:2	stop 78:24	support 65:12
	67:9,12 73:18	speak 34:3,4,14	48:2	storage 9:7	supports 52:14
	73:18 74:3,10	42:11 46:7	standing 58:20	51:16	Supreme 10:18
	74:11,17,19	55:4 63:5 80:3	59:20	stored 9:9	38:19
	75:12,13,16	80:9	Star 70:2	storms 56:17	sure 38:12 39:15
	76:12	speaking 69:18	started 77:1	straightforward	45:23 67:20
	sitting 72:16	special 5:14	state 1:16 15:10	6:15	surrounding
	situation 11:5	21:15 65:5,8	16:8 29:8 49:7	stream 31:7,9,11	35:24 62:11,15
	13:16 45:21	65:11 66:15	60:7 71:4,7	31:17,22 54:24	suspect 36:9
	slip 72:24	specific 6:23	79:14,15 84:1	streams 31:20	Suzanne 63:13
	sludges 23:18	25:18 26:19	84:7	66:2 76:4,14	swear 15:3 28:17
1	32:16	73:8,9	stated 60:9	Street 1:18 2:9	sworn 15:4,6
	small 59:14	specifically 7:6	69:17 76:10	2:14	28:18 29:2
	snaking 73:21	7:11 11:22	statement 5:19	stress 52:7	42:14 46:9
	sold 47:3,4	16:24 18:5	6:7 12:14 13:9	stretched 48:16	52:22
	sole 12:15 77:18	28:1 53:19	13:22 14:14	streiched 48:16 strong 51:19	system 25:16
		60:14	40:10 42:15		S-u-z-a-n-n-e
	solely 4:22 solid 15:20 16:3			strongest 55:9	63:13
1.		specifics 51:3	43:3 80:16 83:10	strongly 65:8 structure 51:5	05.15
	some 10:1 11:19	specified 22:4			T
	13:15 27:19,21	speculative	statements 3:4	studied 45:8	tactic 77:17
	30:13 32:19	35:18	17:22 39:7	stuff 38:13 44:22	take 13:22 34:24
	33:16 44:11,20	spell 28:19 42:22	64:17 81:5	style 43:17	42:6 45:13
	47:8 48:3	46:11 50:2	states 49:2 69:15	subject 4:20	47:5 57:1 60:2
	49:14 53:9	52:23 61:14	71:1 77:11,21	8:21 9:3 19:21	61:24 62:12,13
	54:17 57:16	spelled 29:6	statutory 11:1,9	19:23 20:15	63:24 72:20
	58:21 59:7,21	spend 44:18	39:9	26:7 29:21	73:12
	61:4 67:21	spent 32:13,17	stay 75:1	38:10 42:15	taken 1:14 2:2
	70:21 73:3	54:12,14 74:15	steel 10:7,12	submit 27:14	
	74:12 77:3	74:18	31:23 32:13,22	44:15 54:19	takes 77:19
	somebody 47:11	spoke 80:10	32:24 33:1	67:11 75:10	talked 73:15
	52:19	spoken 49:22	39:24 54:12,13	80:5,11 81:8	talking 37:21
	somehow 54:21	spread 62:9	54:14	submitted 41:4	tar 21:11
	someone 62:17	spring 69:16	stenographic	64:24 66:22	technical 6:19
	70:9	Springfield 2:3	84:10	75:15 81:13	16:5 30:7
	something 47:6	2:14,19 27:14	stenographica	subsequent	techniques 22:3
	60:21 62:1	74:13	1:15	51:22	technology 24:6
	76:2 79:22	stabilization	STEPHENS	subsidiary 66:6	teeth 43:16
	sometime 26:12	8:12 12:22	2:12	subtitle 16:8	tell 29:7 31:2
	45:3	13:24 14:4	Sterling 10:7	sudden 61:3	53:23
	sometimes 56:8	18:24 19:14	Steve 40:11	suffering 57:3	ten 47:21 48:8,9
	somewhat 33:14	20:1,8	Stevenson 60:8,8	suggest 34:5	49:17
	somewhere 79:6	stabilize 54:5	60:12	36:18 68:1	tend 24:15
	sorry 49:21	stabilized 32:16	still 31:12 57:8	Suite 2:9,14	tenure 29:14
	68:13	34:24	73:13 75:23	suited 47:19	ten-year 48:14
	sort 31:20 41:6	stable 22:19 64:3	79:2	sulfate 22:14	48:15
	sought 7:12,14	staff 65:6,10	stipulated 12:14	23:2	terms 12:12 38:9
	7:16 79:3	stand 49:13 63:6	14:13	Superfund	Tessie 70:7
			4	55)	II.

To be a second of the second o				
testified 15:7	82:22	52:18 53:12	70:24	T-e-s-s-i-e 70:7
29:3 37:9	things 16:6 24:8	55:7 56:21,21	travesty 78:7	T-h-o-r-n-t-o-n
38:13	47:1 61:3	57:2,23,23	treat 22:4 76:4	55:22
testify 82:22	67:21 70:15,21	58:7,9 73:22	treated 9:10	T-r-a-c-y 53:17
testifying 36:19	74:23 79:24	74:11 82:9	23:7 32:13,15	
83:11,13	80:15 82:22	83:13	33:7 43:23	U
testimony 34:1	83:6	today 7:3,15	treatment 8:2,12	Uh-huh 68:17
35:18 37:6	think 34:7,11,14	10:6,15 11:22	8:15,18,24 9:4	unanimously
44:9 58:14	35:23 36:2	12:21 13:5,15	9:20 10:19	64:22
59:7,18,20	38:4,7 43:12	31:12,14 33:11	17:1 20:9,15	unbelievably
60:17	53:8,12 54:13	35:15,16,24	20:21 21:2,3	48:16
thank 5:13,17	54:15,17 55:3	40:16 42:13	21:17,20,24	uncap 52:5
6:6,9,11 13:6,7	56:8 57:9,16	44:4,9 48:17	22:1,8 23:13	under 7:2 8:4
14:7,24 18:18	58:3,7 60:12	48:19 49:3	23:16,21 24:4	11:6 13:3 21:7
28:6,9,22 40:2	61:2,21 62:12	50:7,14 53:10	24:18,23 25:4	21:18 24:7
40:20,21 41:11	63:22 67:22,22	56:2 58:15,17	25:23 26:1,2	33:9 45:12
41:12,15 44:24	68:22 70:15,22	59:7,22,24	26:23 27:7,17	61:21 76:4,14
46:5,6 49:19	71:17 72:23	60:3,17 62:21	28:2 29:12	76:17 77:4
49:24 50:13	81:11,22 82:2	69:2,2 70:10	32:17 38:2,3	undergone
52:17 53:12,13	thinking 56:10	80:3,9,10	78:2,2,10	23:16 24:4
55:13,15 60:3	56:13	83:15	treats 10:22	understand
61:6 62:15,16	thinks 79:19	today's 39:21	truck 54:7	34:20 44:23
63:9,10 64:12	third 7:22 24:10	81:11	trucked 60:19	54:13 56:22
64:13 68:24	Thornton 55:22	together 75:6	69:15	58:19 83:1
69:1,4 70:4,5	though 42:10	told 34:2 46:14	true 17:23 18:8	understandably
71:23 72:15	44:14 58:4	48:5,5 68:23	79:17 84:9	47:20 57:15
74:24 75:9	73:2 76:22	Tom 76:20,21	truly 55:5	undue 48:10
76:18,19 79:20	thought 48:15	tons 50:21	truth 78:7	unduly 54:23
83:14	74:10 81:23	top 78:20	try 43:13 54:11	unfounded
their 5:5 6:13	three 8:23 24:8	toxic 43:20,20,20	54:17 59:10	53:11,11
32:8,12 34:8	60:22,24 72:12	45:22,24,24	63:7,24 79:18	Union 49:1,2
34:23,24 38:3	through 5:2 8:13	51:9 62:7	trying 77:17	unique 9:14
43:13 45:13	18:6,21 20:21	63:18 68:20	79:6	unit 21:6 25:15
46:1 49:5,10	26:16 55:8	69:3 70:12	tuck 43:15	36:11,11
51:1,14,15,24	64:3 73:21	72:10 77:6,7	tummy 43:15	United 49:2
52:6 55:4	74:7,12 75:12	78:12,22 79:2	turn 13:1 76:2	University 43:10
56:22,22 57:12	80:20 84:9	79:4,13	turned 63:2	78:19
59:9 60:19	throughout 78:9	toxics 77:14	two 8:19 10:4	unlike 76:11
62:2,2,3,13	82:20	Tracy 53:17	21:1 38:8	until 45:23 58:11
64:23 65:16	thrown 79:6	traditionally	39:16 44:21	79:18
66:2 69:4	tide 56:17	12:17	45:2 47:1,13	untold 77:10
70:19 73:13	tie 75:6	transcript 1:13	51:15 56:15	upheld 77:15
76:14 83:15	time 5:4 6:13	41:18 70:20,23	60:20 63:24	uphold 69:7 70:4
themselves 39:4	13:5 14:9 32:4	84:8,10	72:22 83:10	urge 49:18
54:11,20 60:14	32:18,19 34:23	transferred 9:10	type 30:1,15	use 79:5
77:20	35:7,14 39:22	transformed	32:22 67:23	used 51:13
thing 10:4 24:10	39:23 41:16	8:12	78:24,24	using 51:21
41:6 43:12	42:5 43:14	transported 9:12	types 21:1 31:4	U.S 22:4
47:10 54:3	44:18 47:18	11:24	32:10	V
63:4,20 80:4	48:1,3,6,9	transporting	typical 27:2	

	validity 53:10	68:20 69:1,4	wastes 9:9 24:21	38:23 39:12,23	words 8:19
	value 36:3 47:2	70:14,18 79:21	34:24 50:21	42:9 49:2 52:9	38:10 55:4
	47:2,3,11 54:4	81:3,5	71:20 76:6	58:12 61:20	63:24
	various 66:4	wanted 38:2	wastewater 9:20	65:6,15 69:2	work 29:8,10
	versus 4:4 23:13	wants 42:11,14	water 22:15 43:6	74:22 81:17	64:16 81:18
	vertical 30:5	42:17	61:20,23 79:10	82:4,19	worked 55:2
	32:8 51:5 52:6	wasn't 11:12	79:11	well-being 61:20	works 13:17
	73:15	33:5 73:9,11	waved 38:14	62:10	wouldn't 12:9,9
	very 5:17 9:19	waste 4:19,23	way 20:23 31:12	went 64:1	35:19 54:8
	10:6,19 11:21	8:1,4,6,7,10,15	35:13,24 61:4	Wentworth 72:4	56:17
	12:4 22:19,20	8:19,20 9:2,5,7	64:5	72:4,8,9 73:2	wrap 80:15 83:6
	23:20 37:3	9:8 10:1,11,13	weather 52:7	74:22 75:6,9	writer 32:4
	39:10 40:20	10:22,23 11:17	Webb 1:17 2:4	were 2:24 16:13	writing 82:13
Ša į	43:5 45:1,11	11:19 12:1,4	4:1,2 5:13,17	31:10,17,18	written 44:16
	45:20 46:1,2	12:22 13:24,24	6:6 13:7 14:7	32:10 35:2,16	80:6,11 82:7
	52:18 58:4	14:3 15:20	14:10,13,16,22	37:6 38:24	wrong 42:18
	59:5 60:3,16	16:4,24 18:24	15:2 17:10	39:6 43:22	79:16
	62:21 71:17,21	19:1,12,13,14	18:17 28:6,9	44:8 45:22,24	wrote 11:22
	72:21 74:8,9,9	20:1,7,9,19	28:13,16,19,22	48:13 55:5,6	W-e-n-t-w-o-r
	74:15 78:12	21:2,4,8,9,11	34:16 35:21	60:15,18 65:5	72:5
	vice 15:15,22,23	21:13,15,18	36:22 37:1	70:22 75:3	
	video 69:19	22:2,5,11	40:4 41:12,16	81:18,19	X
	view 35:24	23:12,16 24:17	42:5,21 43:2	west 18:13 77:7	X 3:1
	viewed 35:14	25:11,13,15,18	44:5,24 46:6,9	we've 25:1	Y
	views 13:16	26:1 29:10,12	46:19 49:20,24	wheel 48:13	
	violation 39:6	31:4,4,7,9,11	50:2,6 52:19	While 7:14	yeah 38:14 52:24
	virtually 39:22	31:17,19,22	52:22 53:2,5	12:16	72:8
	voice 64:23	32:18,21 33:6	53:13,16 55:15	White 40:11,12	year 45:3 64:19 years 15:19
	voiced 49:9	36:5 37:10,20	55:17,20,23	81:20 82:18	26:19 27:2
	volatile 78:15,17	38:9 41:5 42:7	56:1,5 58:19	White's 40:15,16	
-	volatilize 78:15	43:20,20,20,23	58:24 59:19	whole 46:5 77:2	45:8 47:15,21 48:8,9,16,22
9	78:19	47:2,5,8,9,17	60:4,7,11 61:6	willing 63:5	49:17 52:9
	volume 8:18	47:19.51:9,13	61:8,12,14	win 45:20,20	62:23 77:2,11
	volume-wise	51:16 52:10,12	62:16 63:10	46:4,4	02.23 77.2,11
	30:6	53:12,21,24	64:13 67:14,18	wind 56:17	Z
	volunteer 50:9	54:3,4,6,7,9,16	67:21 68:4,9	Wire 10:7,13	zoning 9:23
	vote 66:24	54:24 55:3,6	68:13,16,18,24	31:24 32:23	Zwicky 46:12,12
	voted 45:5 51:19	55:10 57:5,7,9	69:1 70:5,9,13	39:24	46:22 49:20,22
	69:10	57:22 60:14,18	71:23 72:6	wish 44:13	63:15 68:21,22
	votes 45:7	60:18 61:1	75:5,8 76:19	witness 3:7,10	Z-w-i-c-k-y
	vs 1:5	62:7 64:2 66:2	76:23 79:21	12:21 13:15	46:12
	W	68:20 69:3,14	80:1,5,8,14	14:23 15:4,6	
	wait 79:18	69:22 70:12,17	82:4,14 83:1,9	28:14,18,21	\$
	want 79:18 wand 38:15	70:24 71:4,7,9	84:12	29:2 36:19	\$222,239.26
	want 40:15,18	71:17 72:10,22	week 56:20	37:2	74:18
	40:19 42:12	74:11 76:4,14	welfare 44:8,15	witnesses 4:14	\$505,865.95
	44:11,12,14,16	77:6,7,9,19,24	62:2 65:9	68:3 80:21	74:16
	44:18 56:6	78:3,8,10,14	well 7:21 13:17	83:10,12 woman 43:14	0
	59:1,12 67:1	78:20,22,24 79:1,4	16:14 20:2 32:16 34:16	woman 43:14 wonderful 58:5	0 69:10
	07.1,12 07.1	/ 7.1,4	32.10 34.10	wonderful 36.3	0 09.10
			t de la constant de		

06184 75:19	2	45:5	90 77:9	
08-25 1:5 4:3	2nd 40:11 64:19	40 8:9,17 23:6,14	90s 32:20 39:13	
084-004217	20 48:16,22	37:9,22 38:15		
84:22	200 73:3	60:21		
	2004 9:18 26:19	403 1:18	x g e	
1	27:1	41 3:13		
1 3:19 14:11,18	2005 27:1 65:13	416 2:9	25	
14:19,21 18:6	2006 51:19	42 3:14		
18:23 67:6	2000 31.19 2007 1:19 4:4	431-1500 2:15		8
84:9	40:11 45:1	4349-1/2 18:13		
10:50 83:18	2.6	45 51:7	* ;	
101.600 5:2	64:19,23 65:1	48 72:16		
101.628 41:24	66:23 67:5	10 /2.10		
101.632 5:2	73:20 75:20	5	4 /	
1021 2:3,18	84:13,15	5 26:20 65:1	3	
1129 18:21	205 2:14	50 11:19 23:6,14	* 1 2	
1131 18:21	21st 75:20	73:20	0	
1132 20:6	217 2:4,20	524-8509 2:4		
1138 20:6	22 70:23	53,190 72:12	<u> </u>	
1145 25:9	227 40:9	55 11:12		
1147 25:9	24 15:19	33 11.12		
1161 26:16	25 47:15	6	ŧ	
12 51:20	26th 73:20	63:5 51:20		
12 51.20 1206 26:16	28th 41:20	6th 1:18 4:4	2	
1200 20.10 1219 19:9	29 3:12	84:13		
		60 54:1 66:2		
1220 19:9	3	61602 2:10	ii ii	
13 3:6	3 10:5 30:2	62705 2:14	n .=	
1363 18:6	52:16 65:16,23	62794-9274 2:3		
14 3:19,19	66:4,9,12 67:4	62794-9276 2:19		*
14th 65:13	67:5 69:5 73:5	637-6000 2:10		
1400 2:9	73:9,23	037-0000 2.10	9	
15 3:9 26:12,13	3rd 41:21,24	7	e .	Æ
77:11,20	3.3 38:11	700 2:14		
15th 26:13	3.30A3 9:5	782-5544 2:20		
16 45:2 66:24	3.330A 39:10	702-3344 2.20		
16th 41:19	3.3383 76:8	8		
18 69:10	30 52:9	8 64:23 66:23		
19276 2:19	30th 67:5	8th 84:15		
1980s 10:9 52:3	3083 38:11	8.2 51:11	х "	
1987 19:24 20:2	309 2:10	800 50:15	8	
1988 20:1,2	32 51:7	815 2:15	s	
1989 20:3	324 1:17	83 84:9	ži.	
1989's 27:4	37 3:12	842 79:2		
1990 11:14 29:13	39 7:2,4	843 78:16		
1990s 31:6 35:12	39C 76:8,17	89 26:1	*	
1993 10:5	39H 30:24 76:5	0) 20.1	्रे ^च ०४ वर्षे	
1994 10:16	76:9,16	9		
1995 31:15		9 4:5		
1997 48:13	4	9th 41:18		
8	4 3:3 17:12,12	9:00 1:19	8 6	
		7.00 1.19	FI	